

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	i
LIST OF PROJECT MEMBER	iii
LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS.....	ix
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xi
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Review of Initiatives Related to Sustainability of Biomass Energy.....	1
1.3. Research Activities of ERIA WG on “Sustainability Assessment of Biomass Utilisation in East Asia”	7
2. WG METHODOLOGY AND SUMMARIES OF PILOT STUDIES IN SELECTED EAST ASIAN COUNTRIES	11
2.1. WG Concept	11
2.2. WG Methodology to Assess Sustainability of Biomass Utilisation	11
2.2.1. Environmental Indicator.....	12
2.2.2. Economic Indicator.....	13
2.2.3. Social Indicator.....	16
2.3. Target Users of the Methodology and Results.....	18
2.4. Brief Summary of Pilot Studies in Selected East Asian Countries.....	19
2.4.1. Pilot Study in Andhra Pradesh, India.....	20
2.4.2. Pilot Study in Lampung, Indonesia	21
2.4.3. Pilot Study in Quezon, the Philippines.....	22
2.4.4. Pilot Study in Khon Kaen, Thailand.....	22
3. LESSONS LEARNED FROM THE PILOT STUDIES.....	24
3.1. Lessons Learned from Each Pilot Study.....	24
3.1.1. From Andhra Pradesh, India.....	24
3.1.2. From Lampung, Indonesia.....	27
3.1.2.1. Cassava for Ethanol Production	27
3.1.2.2. Jatropha for Crude Jatropha Oil	29

3.1.3.	From Quezon, the Philippines	30
3.1.3.1.	Economics Aspects	30
3.1.3.2.	Social Aspects.....	32
3.1.3.3.	Environmental Aspects	33
3.1.4.	From Khon Kaen, Thailand	34
3.1.4.1.	Environmental Assessment.....	34
3.1.4.2.	Socio-Economic Assessment.....	34
3.2.	Summary of the Lessons Learned	35
3.2.1.	Environmental Pillar.....	35
3.2.2.	Economic Pillar	37
3.2.3.	Social Pillar	37
3.3.	Other Issues of Concern	38
3.3.1.	Data Availability	39
3.3.2.	Data Reliability.....	39
3.3.3.	Appropriateness of the Use of Questionnaire	40
3.4.	Upgrading the WG Methodology	41
4.	INDICATORS APPLICABLE AT DIFFERENT SCALES	42
4.1.	Environmental Pillar.....	42
4.1.1.	Life Cycle GHG Emissions as an Environmental Indicator.....	42
4.1.1.1.	Applicability of GHG Emission as an Indicator to Assess Sustainability of Biomass Utilisation	42
4.1.1.2.	Data Requirements to Ensure Representativeness of the GHG Emission Profile	51
4.1.1.3.	Data Treatment Based on Secondary Data and Modelled Estimates..	52
4.1.1.4.	Viability of Calculating GHG Emissions to Assess Biomass Utilisation for Micro and Macro Level Projects	56
4.1.2.	GHG Emissions due to Land Use and Land Use Change	57
4.1.3.	Other Environmental Impact Categories	60
4.1.3.1.	Degradation of Ecosystem.....	61
4.1.3.2.	Energy Resource Depletion	63
4.1.3.3.	Water Resource Scarcity.....	63
4.1.3.4.	Degradation of Air Quality	64
4.1.3.5.	Degradation of Water Quality	65

4.1.3.6. Degradation of Soil Quality.....	67
4.2. Economic Pillar	67
4.2.1. Master Indicator – Total Value Added	68
4.2.2. Sub-Indicators for Economic Pillar	70
4.2.2.1. Labour Income (Wage).....	70
4.2.2.2. Net Profit	71
4.2.2.3. Tax Revenues.....	71
4.2.2.4. Foreign Exchange Savings	72
4.3. Social Pillar	72
4.3.1. Master Social Indicators Applicable at Different Scales	72
4.3.1.1. Employment Generation.....	73
4.3.1.2. Access to Modern Energy.....	74
4.3.2. Comparison with GBEP Indicator for Social Pillar.....	77
4.3.3. Qualitative Sub-Indicators for Social Assessment	77
5. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS	78
6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	82
REFERENCES.....	87
APPENDIX. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR SOCIAL PILLAR	93