# Part

- 1. Subject of the Project
- 2. Background and Objectives of the Project
- 3. Countries Surveyed
- 4. Survey Items
- 5. Initial Methodologies of the Project
- 6. First Approach
- 7. Second Approach
- 8. Third Approach
- 9. Actual Methodologies

Yoshitoshi Tanaka Mika Goto Yuji Tou Suzuka Yoshida

March 2020

# This chapter should be cited as

Tanaka, Y., M. Goto, Y. Tou and S. Yoshida (2020), *Future Visions and Policy Recommendations for ASEAN Member States (AMSs) based on Estimation of Industrial Property Applications*. ERIA Research Project Report FY2018 no.23, Jakarta: ERIA

# Part I

## 1. Subject of the Project

Study for providing future visions and policy recommendations to Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) Member States (AMS) to deal with the increased number of industrial property applications and backlogs, based on the economic growth outlook and number of industrial property applications of AMS.

#### 2. Background and Objectives of the Project

## 2.1. Background

The number of industrial property applications in AMS has been increasing in recent years due to the rapid economic growth in the region. This increase is expected to continue in the future. Accordingly, the workload of the examination process in Intellectual Property Offices (IPOs) is also expected to continue to increase. Therefore, unless each IPO takes measures against the increasing workload, it could result in an increase in backlogs and delays in the responses from IPOs (office actions). Delays in the responses from IPOs will be detrimental to the rapid progress of technological innovation and will probably not be welcomed by domestic or international companies. In this context, the IPOs of AMS should take the appropriate measures to improve the delivery of Intellectual Property (IP) services and prevent the increase in backlogs. Quantitative analysis through the 'IPO outlook approach' is needed for examining the potential for workload reduction for each IPO.

# 2.2. Objectives

The objective of the study is to clarify the outlook for AMS by presenting an outlook on economic growth and the number of industrial property applications for AMS based on the current economic data; to calculate how the examination period and the backlog situation will change; and to identify the similarities and differences in the measures and practices among the AMS. This study will suggest the measures and practices to be taken to improve the delivery of IP services, including the backlog situation at each IPO in AMS. Moreover, it will provide helpful information for companies that are in, and will be in, AMS.

In addition, another objective of this study is related to the number of residential patent applications in AMS. We will focus on the factors that have positive impacts on increasing the

1

number of patent applications by local applicants. There must be certain drivers that increase the number of residential patent applications. We will clarify these driving factors and propose necessary actions together with future estimates of residential patent applications.

## 3. Countries Surveyed

ASEAN Member States and Japan

## 4. Survey Items:

- 1) Economic data that are available in AMS
- 2) Statistical data that are available in AMS
- 3) Outlook for the economic growth of each AMS
- Outlook for the number of industrial property applications in each IPO in the AMS
- 5) Outlook for the examination period and the backlog situation
- 6) Measures (legal systems, fee schedules, human resources, information technology (IT), operations management, and outsourcing of operations, etc.) and practices taken in the past at each IPO in the AMS
- 7) Measures (legal systems, fee schedules, human resources, IT, operations management, and outsourcing of operations, etc.) and practices to be taken to improve the delivery of IP services, including the backlog situation at each IPO in the AMS
- 8) Driving factors to increase the number of residential patent applications
- Measures for increasing the number of residential patent applications in the AMS

# 5. Initial Methodologies of the Project

Economic data for all possible countries, including for Europe, the United States (US), Japan, China, the Republic of Korea (hereafter, Korea), and other ASEAN countries, to carry out statistical analysis to extract the influential factors on gross domestic product (GDP) and its growth rate The influential factors are defined in a group of developed countries and a group of developing countries, which can be used for the estimation of industrial property applications. Based on the estimates, collaboration with IP experts in targeting countries will be conducted for analysing the number of industrial property applications and the backlog situation, etc. by collecting domestic data.

More specifically,

- 5.1. The Working Group (hereafter referred to as the WG) collects the necessary current economic data available in AMS.
- 5.2. Based on the collected economic data and calculation model, the WG calculates the outlook for the economic growth of each AMS.
- 5.3. The WG collects the necessary statistical data available at the IPOs in AMS.
- 5.4. Based on the outlook for economic growth of each AMS, the collected statistical data, and the calculation model, the WG calculates the outlook for the number of industrial property applications in each IPO, particularly in technical fields for which number of industrial property applications is increasing significantly.
- 5.5. The driving factors to increase the number of residential patent applications will be extracted by regression analysis
- 5.6. Based on the outlook of the number of industrial property applications in each IPO, the WG calculates how the examination period and backlog situation will change.
- 5.7. The WG investigates the measures (legal systems, fee schedules, human resources, IT, operations management, outsourcing of operations, etc.) and practices taken in the past to address the increase in applications at the IP Offices.
- 5.8. The WG identifies similarities and differences in the measures and practices among the AMS utilizing the latest data and existing reports (i.e. 'Surveillance Study Report on Patent and Trademark Examination Manuals in ASEAN and Taiwan')<sup>1</sup>
- 5.9. The WG identifies the measures and practices to be taken to improve the delivery of IP services, including reducing the backlog situation at each IPO in AMS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Published in March 2015 by AIPPI–JAPAN.

#### 6. First Approach

#### 6.1. Influential factors

In economics, total factor productivity (TFP) is used to measure economic efficiency. Thus, the WG decided to verify whether TFP can be an influential factor.

The formula used is as follows:

$$Growth(TFP)=Growth(Output) - (Growth(Input_1)+Growth (Input_2)+Growth (Input_3))*(1/3)$$

where *output* is the total value of output (2000 prices in millions of yen), and the inputs are the intermediate input (2000 prices in millions of yen)<sub>1</sub>, indices of man-hours (2000=1)<sub>2</sub>, and indices of capital input (2000=1)<sub>3</sub>. The data source is the Japan Industrial Productivity (JIP) data.

#### 6.2. Regression analysis on industrial property applications in Japan

#### $Growth(TFP) = \alpha + \beta \cdot Growth(Application of Industrial Property)$

The regression analysis for Japan was conducted on the growth of TFP against the growth of each IP application (patent, design, trademark, and utility model) for both residents and non-residents with the growth of TFP as a dependent variable and growth of IP applications as an independent variable for the period from 1983 to 2012 (database: WIPO statistics).

. regress tfp	growthver2 pat	entresiden	tgrowth				
Source	SS	df	MS	Numb	er of ob	s =	29
				- F(1,	27)	=	3.10
Model	.000467821	1	.000467821	l Prob	> F	=	0.0898
Residual	.004080696	27	.000151137	7 R-sq	uared	=	0.1029
				- Adj	R-square	d =	0.0696
Total	.004548517	28	.000162447	7 Root	MSE	=	.01229
	1						
	·						
tfpgrowthy~2	Coef	Std. Err.	+	P>I+I	[95%	Conf	Intervall
			<u> </u>		[ ] ] ]		
natentresi~h	0877365	0498684	1 76	0 090	- 0145	851	1900581
pacenciesi ii		.0190001	1.70	0.050	.0110	0.01	.1900901
	.0034502	.0023271	1.48	0.150	0013	246	.008225

Figure 1. Japan TFP Growth and Patent Application Growth (Resident)

. regress tfpg	growthver2 pat	entnonresi	dentgrowth				
Source	SS	df	MS	Numb	er of obs	=	29
Madal	0.07560.06	1	0.07560.00	- F(1,	27)	=	0.05
Model	8.0/566-06	T	8.0/566-06	b Prob	> F	=	0.8282
Residual	.004540442	27	.000168165	5 R-sq	uared	=	0.0018
				- Adj	R-squared	=	-0.0352
Total	.004548517	28	.000162447	7 Root	MSE	=	.01297
tfpgrowthv~2	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Cc	onf.	Interval]
patentnonr~h	.0028578	.0130411	0.22	0.828	023900	2	.0296159
	.0041251	.0024688	1.67	0.106	000940	14	.0091906

# Figure 2. Japan TFP Growth and Patent Application Growth (Non-resident)

Source: Authors' calculation.

Figure 3.	Japan	<b>TFP Growth</b>	and	Design	Application	Growth	(Resident)
0				0			

. regress tfpo	growthver2 des	ign_reside	ntgrowth				
Source	SS	df	MS	Numb	er of obs	=	29
				- F(1,	27)	=	0.57
Model	.00009451	1	.00009451	l Prob	> F	=	0.4557
Residual	.004454007	27	.000164963	8 R-sq	uared	=	0.0208
				- Adj	R-squared	=	-0.0155
Total	.004548517	28	.000162447	7 Root	MSE	=	.01284
tfpgrowthv~2	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Co:	nf.	Interval]
design_res~h	.0423217	.0559136	0.76	0.456	072403	5	.157047
_cons	.005216	.0027085	1.93	0.065	000341	5	.0107735
	I						

. regress tfpg	growthver2 des	ign_nonres	identgrowth	1			
Source	SS	df	MS	Numb	er of obs	=	29
				- F(1,	27)	=	14.83
Model	.00161265	1	.00161265	5 Prob	) > F	=	0.0007
Residual	.002935868	27	.000108736	6 R-sq	uared	=	0.3545
				- Adj	R-squared	=	0.3306
Total	.004548517	28	.000162447	/ Root	MSE	=	.01043
tfpgrowthv~2	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	₽> t	[95% C	onf.	Interval]
design_non~h	.0709389	.0184205	3.85	0.001	.03314	32	.1087346
_cons	.000781	.002135	0.37	0.717	00359	97	.0051617

Figure 4. Japan TFP Growth and Design Application Growth (Non-resident)

Source: Authors' calculation.

TIEVIC J. JANATI TI F UTOWITI ATTU TIAUCITIATIK ANNICATION UTOWITI (NESTUCI)	Figure 5. Japan TFP	Growth and	Trademark Ap	plication	Growth	Resident
--	---------------------	------------	--------------	-----------	--------	----------

. regress tfpo	growthver2 tra	demark_res:	identgrowtł	1			
Source	SS	df	MS	Numb	er of obs	=	29
				- F(1,	27)	=	1.53
Model	.000243294	1	.000243294	l Prob	> F	=	0.2274
Residual	.004305223	27	.000159453	8 R-sq	uared	=	0.0535
				- Adj	R-squared	=	0.0184
Total	.004548517	28	.000162447	/ Root	MSE	=	.01263
tfpgrowthv~2	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Co	nf.	Interval]
trademark_r~	.0256856	.0207941	1.24	0.227	016980	3	.0683515
_ <sup>cons</sup>	.0043835	.0023476	1.87	0.073	000433	3	.0092003
	L						

. regress tfpo	growthver2 tra	demark_non:	residentgro	wth			
Source	SS	df	MS	Numb	er of ob	s =	29
				- F(1,	27)	=	4.88
Model	.000696534	1	.000696534	Prob	> F	=	0.0358
Residual	.003851984	27	.000142666	i R-sq	uared	=	0.1531
				- Adj	R-square	d =	0.1218
Total	.004548517	28	.000162447	Root	MSE	=	.01194
	r						
tfpgrowthv~2	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
t~nonresid~h	.0417566	.018898	2.21	0.036	.0029	812	.080532
_cons	.003214	.0022665	1.42	0.168	0014	364	.0078645
	I						

# Figure 6. Japan TFP Growth and Trademark Application Growth (Non-resident)

Source: Authors' calculation.

Figure 7. Japan TFP Growth and Utility Model Application Growth (Resident)

• regress erpe	JIOWCHIVEIZ UCI		Lesidenegie	W CII			
Source	SS	df	MS	Numb	er of obs	=	29
				- F(1,	27)	=	1.22
Model	.000195993	1	.000195993	8 Prob	> F	=	0.2799
Residual	.004352524	27	.000161205	6 R-sq	uared	=	0.0431
				- Adj	R-squared	=	0.0076
Total	.004548517	28	.000162447	Root	MSE	=	.0127
tfpgrowthv~2	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Co:	nf.	Interval]
utilitymod	.0146072	.0132475	1.10	0.280	012574	5	.0417888
_cons	.0054977	.0026174	2.10	0.045	.000127	2	.0108682
	L						

. regress tfp	growthver2 uti	litymodel_:	nonresident	growth			
Source	SS	df	MS	Numb	er of ob	s =	29
Model	.000298279	1	.000298279	• F(1, • Prob	27) > F	=	1.89 0.1800
Residual	.004250238	27	.000157416	R-sq	uared	=	0.0656
Total	.004548517	28	.000162447	- Adj Root	R-square MSE	d =	0.0310
tfpgrowthv~2	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
u~nonresid~h	.0348658	.0253287	1.38	0.180	0171	044	.086836
	.0036307	.0023721	1.53	0.138	0012	364	.0084979

Figure 8. Japan TFP Growth and Utility Model Application Growth (Non-resident)

Source: Authors' calculation.

#### 6.3. Validation

Although there were a few IPs for which the P-values were lower in the applications for patents by residents, design, and trademarks by non-residents, as shown in Figures 1, 4, and 6, the coefficients were not high enough to support the statement that IP applications will affect their country's TFP. Given that there was no significant correlation found in terms of applications in IP and TFP in Japan, this parameter cannot be applied to ASEAN countries. In addition, there are not sufficient data available in public databases to calculate the TFP. Labour productivity is publicly available for OECD countries in the OECD's database, including Indonesia but excluding the other ASEAN countries. Moreover, ASEAN countries are not capable of providing their own internal data within the designated period. Therefore, it is not possible to validate the correlation between TFP (even labour productivity) and IP applications in ASEAN countries.

## 7. Second Approach

7.1. Correlation between macroeconomic and IP-related data and industrial property applications in Japan

Correlation analysis was performed on the following variables against the growth rate in IP applications during 1997–2015 in Japan.

- 1. Growth in GDP
- 2. Growth in manufacturing (% of GDP)
- 3. Growth in population
- 4. Growth in research and development expenditure (% of GDP)
- 5. Growth in researchers in research and development (R&D)
- 6. Growth in birth rate
- Growth in labour force participation rate, total (% of total population aged 15+) (national estimate)
- 8. Growth in patent office's revenue
- 9. Growth in patent office's expenditure
- 10. Growth in number of IP examiners
- 11. Growth in number of IP staff
- 12. Growth in business enterprise expenditure on R&D

Positive correlations were found in the analysis, with P-values less than 0.2, such as 'growth in patent resident and non-resident applications' against 'growth in business enterprise expenditure on R&D', for which the correlation coefficients were 0.422 and 0.400, respectively; 'growth in design resident applications' against 'growth in manufacturing (% of GDP)' and 'growth in design non-resident applications' against 'growth in business enterprise expenditure on R&D', for which the correlation coefficients were 0.299 and 0.400, respectively; 'growth in trademark resident and non-resident applications' against 'growth in business enterprise expenditure on R&D', for which the correlation coefficients were 0.299 and 0.400, respectively; 'growth in trademark resident and non-resident applications' against 'growth in GDP', for which the correlation coefficients were 0.231 and 0.451, respectively; 'growth in trademark resident applications' against 'growth in manufacturing (% of GDP)', for which the correlation coefficients were 0.440 and 0.506, respectively; and 'growth in utility model residents' against 'growth in number of IP examiners' and 'growth in number of IP staff', for which the correlation coefficients were 0.482 and 0.483, respectively.

# 7.2. Correlation between macroeconomic and IP-related data and applications of industrial property by residents in Viet Nam, Philippines, Brunei Darussalam, and Malaysia

According to the correlation analysis, there were no significant variables that we could use for multi-regression analysis to forecast the countries' IP applications, except for limited outcomes, such as that patent and design are correlated with GDP and population in Viet Nam, trademark is correlated with GDP in the Philippines and Brunei Darussalam, and design and trademark are correlated with population and birth rate in Viet Nam. Therefore, it is not possible to conduct a forecast of each country's IP applications from such macroeconomic variables.

#### 8. Third Approach

It is not necessary to set common variables for all the ASEAN countries in the analysis since each country's economy is different. In order to find the different sets of variables for each country, data were extracted from the World Bank database based on categories, i.e. economy and growth; education; energy and mining; science and technology; and trade.

## 9. Actual Methodologies

The number of industrial property applications in the future can be estimated by multipleregression analysis as below.

Growth ratio (IP applications by residents) =  $a_1X_1+a_2X_2+a_3X_3+...+constant$ 

Growth ratio (IP applications by non-residents) =  $b_1X_1+b_3X_3+b_5X_5...+constant$ 

 $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ... are the factors (e.g. R&D expenditure, foreign direct investment (FDI), GDP, and education) that show significance for the number of applications. The applied factors are different from country to country, but the factors are within the following categories.

- Economy and growth
- ✓ Education
- ✓ Energy and mining
- ✓ Science and technology
- ✓ Trade

In the selection of the relevant factors X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, ..., for countries with too many variables to run the multi-regression analysis, resulting in errors due to exceeding the software (SPSS) limit,

10

correlation analysis was performed using World Bank data (e.g. R&D expenditure, FDI, GDP, and education) and the number of applications in each country. The factors that show sufficient correlation have been selected.

Coefficients a<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>1</sub>, ... are calculated by using multiple regression analysis with a stepwise method. X1, X2, ... are the driving factors that have positive impacts on increasing the number of IP applications, and the number of applications is calculated by using these results with linear approximation.



#### Figure 9. Analysis flow (1)

Source: Authors' calculation.

#### Figure 10. Analysis flow (2)





**Figure 11. Future Prediction** 

Source: Authors' calculation.





Source: SPSS guidebook



# Figure 13. How to read the analysis results? (1)

Source: Authors' calculation.







Figure 15. Output Image