## List of Figures

Figure 1: Estimated District-Average Effective Doses in the First Year Following the Accident	3
Figure 2 : Rokkasho Workshop	9
Figure 3: Visit to Governor's Office, Aomori Prefecture	9
Figure 4: Press Conference after Wrap-Up Meeting	12
Figure 1-1: Positive Image of Nuclear Power	14
Figure 1-2: Negative Image of Nuclear Power	15
Figure 1-3: Do You Think Nuclear Power Is Useful?	17
Figure 1-4: Do You Think Japan's Economic Growth Is Possible Without Nuclear Energy?	18
Figure 1-5: Do You Think Electricity Charges Should Be Raised to Transition Away from Nuclear Energy?	19
Figure 1-6: Does Nuclear Energy Contribute to the Prevention of Global Warming?	20
Figure 1-7: Percent Who Favour and Oppose Nuclear Energy, 1983–2016	21
Figure 1-8: Favourability towards Nuclear Energy, by Degree to which Respondents Feel Informe about It	
	21
Figure 1-9: Change in Level of Support for Using Nuclear Energy in the United Kingdom	21 23
Figure 1-9: Change in Level of Support for Using Nuclear Energy in the United Kingdom Figure 1-10: Public Acceptance of Nuclear Power in Finland	
	23
Figure 1-10: Public Acceptance of Nuclear Power in Finland	23 24
Figure 1-10: Public Acceptance of Nuclear Power in Finland Figure 1-11: Public Acceptance for a Repository in Finland, 1999	23 24 25
Figure 1-10: Public Acceptance of Nuclear Power in Finland Figure 1-11: Public Acceptance for a Repository in Finland, 1999 Figure 1-12: Annual Poll by the Finnish Energy Association, 1983–2016	23 24 25 26
Figure 1-10: Public Acceptance of Nuclear Power in Finland Figure 1-11: Public Acceptance for a Repository in Finland, 1999 Figure 1-12: Annual Poll by the Finnish Energy Association, 1983–2016 Figure 2-1: Lifecycle Greenhouse Gas Emission Intensity by Electricity Generation Method	23 24 25 26 29
Figure 1-10: Public Acceptance of Nuclear Power in Finland Figure 1-11: Public Acceptance for a Repository in Finland, 1999 Figure 1-12: Annual Poll by the Finnish Energy Association, 1983–2016 Figure 2-1: Lifecycle Greenhouse Gas Emission Intensity by Electricity Generation Method Figure 2-2: Nuclear Contribution to United Kingdom Economy, 2016	23 24 25 26 29 31
Figure 1-10: Public Acceptance of Nuclear Power in Finland Figure 1-11: Public Acceptance for a Repository in Finland, 1999 Figure 1-12: Annual Poll by the Finnish Energy Association, 1983–2016 Figure 2-1: Lifecycle Greenhouse Gas Emission Intensity by Electricity Generation Method Figure 2-2: Nuclear Contribution to United Kingdom Economy, 2016 Figure 3-1: Public Relations Brochures and Pamphlets	23 24 25 26 29 31 40