

ERIA Discussion Paper Series

**Impact of International Oil Price Shocks on
Consumption Expenditures in ASEAN and East
Asia**

DAYONG ZHANG
DAVID C. BROADSTOCK

*Research Institute of Economics and Management
Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu.*

November 2014

Abstract: This paper examines the impact of international oil shocks on consumption expenditure in selected ASEAN and East Asia economies. By including oil shocks into a standard macroeconomic model of consumption theory, one sees the response of consumption to the changes in the international oil price. Empirical results show that oil shocks do affect consumption and there are asymmetrical effects. There are clear differences in the level and direction of the impacts on each of the ASEAN and East Asia economies. These implications shed light on how the idea of regional energy market integration can be a way to share risks and optimise resource allocation. Nonetheless, given the clear disparity and similarity in sub-groups, integration should be implemented while allowing for differentiation in terms of the role each country plays.

Keywords: Oil shocks; Consumption expenditure; Permanent Income Hypothesis; ASEAN/East Asia; Energy market integration.

JEL Classification: Q4, G12, G14

1. Introduction

The fast economic growth within the Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN) and surrounding East Asian countries such as China, during the last decade has created an enormous demand for energy, generating unprecedented pressures on regional energy supply chains. Among the primary energy sources, oil has the largest share in the regional energy consumption mix, and this is likely to remain so for several decades to come (Lu, *et al.*, 2012).

In the East Asia region, four countries are currently in the list of the world's top 10 oil importers: These are China, Japan, South Korea, and Singapore (The CIA World Fact Book, 2012). Growing supply gaps increase these countries' dependence upon international energy markets and further expose them to international risk, in addition to those in the domestic market. Likewise, many countries in this area have started to deregulate their energy market and to make domestic prices more flexible to international shocks. This deregulation also increases their exposure to the risks in the international energy market. The price of international oil, therefore, is very likely to have significant impact upon economic activities within this region.

Scholars have long been paying attention to how oil shocks influence changes in economic activities. In one of the earliest works, Hamilton (1983) established a basic framework for studying the broad relationship between oil shocks and economic recession (sustained periods of negative GDP growth) in the United States.

Ever since Hamilton's early work, the real impact of international oil shocks has been studied intensively. However, the vast majority of these studies focus on the impact from the perspective of either economic growth (output) or the financial sector. Mehra and Peterson (2005) were among the first to explicitly investigate the impact of international oil shocks on the residential sector's consumption expenditure (i.e., to check how oil shocks may impact the consumer side of the economy).

Consumption expenditure (specifically, the consumption of domestically produced goods and services) has been an important contributor to economic development in the ASEAN and East Asian countries, especially since the 2008 global financial crisis that caused global demand for exported goods to decline. According to the Asia-Pacific Trade and Investment Report (2011), most ASEAN and East Asian economies' year-on-year growth in exports experienced a dramatic drop in the fourth quarter of 2008 and remained

negative in the year 2009. Although it rebounded in 2010, the growth rate for almost all of the countries in this region slowed down steadily.

When the purchasing power of the advanced Western economies shrinks due to a crisis, it is necessary for the ASEAN and East Asian economies to resort to alternative drivers for their own economy. Among other things, this scenario has historically also resulted in lower levels of government expenditure as a precautionary measure, which again has an impact on the domestic growth's potential. As a result, there has been more emphasis on fostering domestic growth, as can be seen from the case of China:

“China is now at such a crucial stage that without structural transformation and upgrading, we will not be able to achieve a sustained economic growth. In readjusting the structure, the most important aspect is to expand domestic demand...”– The Chinese Prime Minister, Keqiang Li (2013)¹

The statement above sends a clear message that boosting domestic consumption is crucial to Chinese economic development. While this is perhaps most pertinent to China given its dominant role in the global export market, other economies adopt a similar aspiration. Given (1) a stated desire to restructure (at least some) regional growth models so as to place a greater emphasis on domestic consumption expenditure; (2) the heavy oil-importing structure of the regional economies; and (3) other regions' experience with how oil shocks had potentially significant and multiple effects on their economic performance, it is therefore of great interest to empirically assess whether domestic consumption expenditures react to international oil shocks.

The policy relevance of this multi-country/market study also lies in the fact that there is an increasing desire/appetite for wider economic integration in this region. Closer linkages between the ASEAN and East Asian economies make deeper cooperation possible in all areas. The idea of an energy market integration (EMI) in this region has been intensely discussed in recent years owing to the comprehensive and compelling views put forward by Shi and Kimura (2010). That is, these dialogues tackled how EMI can potentially be helpful in terms of broader risk sharing (i.e., resilience against international energy market movements) and optimizing resource allocation.

The local/regional cooperation in the electricity market and other areas such as renewables development has already proven that multi-lateral cooperation on energy matters is feasible. Better risk sharing and optimizing resource allocation may further

¹The address to the Summer Davos opening ceremony, September 11, 2013.

complement plans to smooth out the consumption trajectory in this region, which is also crucial for reducing regional economic volatility.

In tackling EMI, policymakers have to recognise the heterogeneity within the region both in terms of the level of economic development and economic structure. While integration may bring overall benefits to this region, it is necessary to consider how to balance the unequal energy resource endowments across the region, which are particularly obvious with respect to oil. The region consists of many countries dependent on imported oil (and thus subject to the shocks from the international oil market) on one hand, as well as oil-exporting nations with large regional reserves on the other hand. Thus, whether existing understandings of EMI carry over to the oil markets for the consumption side of the economy (as opposed to the production side) remains a valid question.

In this sense, measuring how economies in this region respond to the international oil shock through a time series framework can help one understand how EMI impacts regional economic development.

This paper looks into how international oil shocks impact consumption expenditure in nine ASEAN and East Asia economies. Thus, a widely used macroeconomic specification for modelling consumption expenditure (based on a permanent income hypothesis---PIH in short) is adopted, and differences between actual and planned consumption are quantified by using an error correction model (ECM) augmented to account for oil shocks. In its empirical model---which follows closely that of Mehra and Peterson (2005)--oil shocks are a transitory phenomenon and do not affect the long-run level of consumption expenditure.

Following, for example, the Broadstock *et al.* (2014) study, this paper argues that oil price shocks can transmit to consumption expenditure through both a direct and an indirect channel. Traveling in either a car² or riding a bus as a passenger creates a demand for oil, and is therefore an example of a direct effect. A hike in the price of oil and, in turn, oil-related products, will increase transportation costs and alter the consumption for goods that directly involve transport.

The indirect channel, on the other hand, may manifest in one of two ways. The first indirect effect may come through inflationary concerns and general income effects. The

² The number of cars in the private sector has risen significantly over the last couple of decades. For example, the rates of private car ownership in China increased more than 30-fold, from 0.6 cars per 100 urban households in 2000 to 18.28 in 2011. At the same time, the consumption of oil used for transportation doubled between 2000 and 2010 due to the high speed of urbanisation. Similar patterns can also be seen in other economies in this study, making oil shocks more relevant to private consumption.

general idea is that rising oil prices lead to overall price inflation (Bernanke *et al.*, 1997), which can trigger the monetary authority to respond with contractionary measures. This can sometimes cause further depression in the economy. In such circumstances, consumption would be negatively affected, too. The second source of indirect effect manifests as a substitution effect resulting from a rise in the price of oil.

In this study, the PIH-based empirical model of consumption expenditure is applied separately to a sample of nine economies from the region: China, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand. Within this group, four are from the ASEAN and five are from East Asian. While the countries were ultimately chosen on the basis of data availability, they nonetheless reflect the varied geographical, economic and social development levels across the region (i.e., different levels of economic development, physical scales and political systems, and also a mixture of oil producing, importing and exporting nations). The analysis in this paper, therefore, is in principle able to provide insights relevant to all regional members, even those not directly represented in its data.

The order of the paper is as follows: The next section briefly reviews relevant literature. Section 3 then describes the empirical methodology and research design. Section 4 discusses the data used for analysis. Results, along with some policy implications, are then presented and discussed in Section 5, after which the paper concludes in Section 6.

2. Literature Review

The review in this section begins with a brief overview of the wider literature, many of which are on how oil shocks affect either total economic growth or financial market performance. However, existing literature on consumption expenditure is much sparser. This section, therefore, also provides summaries of studies on consumption expenditure.

The influence of international oil shocks upon overall macroeconomic performance has been well expounded in literature. After Hamilton's study (1983), which found a significant negative relationship between oil shock and economic growth, there have been many others adopting various time series methodologies, confirming their linkages in most, if not all, geographical contexts (see for example: Mork, 1989; Lee, Ni and Ratti, 1995; Hamilton, 2003; Zhang, 2008). The underlying premise is that rising oil prices pass

through the economy as an increase in production costs, resulting in price inflation, which eventually creates wage inflation, coupled with reduced demand due to rising costs. The general consensus is that oil shocks are largely negative to an economy. Benanke, *et al.* (1997), for example, argue that inflationary pressure generated from oil price hikes triggers the Fed to respond with contractionary a monetary policy, which eventually causes further depression in the economy.

Studies on the impact of oil shocks on household consumption expenditure have just recently been a focus of study, and only by a handful of directly related papers such as those of Mehra and Petersen (2005), Odusami (2010), and Wang (2013).³ Consumption expenditure is modelled in these papers using the permanent income hypothesis (PIH) framework that originated from Friedman (1956) and has become the "workhorse" for macroeconomists wishing to describe consumption expenditure either theoretically or empirically.

The simplest description of the PIH is that consumption is affected by the current level of income and wealth plus the expected value (discounted) of all future streams of income. That is, consumption choices are influenced by a permanent or lifetime expectation of income that is less likely to change from one year to the next. In a "perfect world", consumption expenditure will be determined by an optimal or equilibrium relationship with permanent income. In reality, however, any month/quarter/year is influenced by unexpected events that cause consumption expenditure to deviate from its optimal or, in the terminology of Campbell and Mankiw (1989) or Mehra and Peterson (2005), its "planned" level. Error correction models are therefore used to jointly model the long-run equilibrium level of consumption based on income and wealth, while at the same time measuring deviation from the equilibrium (planned) level of consumption. Mehra and Peterson (2005) specify the role of oil shocks in household consumption as a source of short-run deviation that does not fundamentally alter the planned consumption level, but rather acts as a determinant of short-run consumption behaviour.

Odusami (2010) makes some interesting departures in methodology from Mehra and Peterson (2005). Odusami (2010) agrees that consumption is somehow affected by oil shocks. However, instead of incorporating oil price movements into a consumption function directly, it is argued that they generate certain "rebalancing" effects that transpire

³ While there have been, over the years, a number of studies developing structural models of the economy, their general equilibrium setups often require questionable or even unrealistic assumptions to make the system fully identified. This may in part explain why more recent work is comfortable adopting the partial equilibrium analysis.

as a change in the consumption-to-wealth ratio. Another paper taking yet another methodological approach is Edelstein and Kilian's (2009), which uses vector-auto regressions (VAR) and their associated historical decompositions to identify how different consumption categories respond to changes in purchasing power induced specifically by oil shocks. Among other things, Edelstein and Kilian (2009) demonstrate the existence of the "reallocation" effect, which states that households re-evaluate their consumption choices when faced by a new bundle of prices (due to the oil price change). Additionally, they argue that a 1-percent increase in the price of oil would lead to a net reduction of consumption expenditure of 0.15-percent a year later.

This paper's research follows most closely the methodology of Mehra and Petersen (2005), which will be presented in detail in the next section. While the frameworks of Edelstein and Kilian (2009) and Odusami (2010) both have merit, they are not applied here. Data limitations ultimately exclude Edelstein and Kilian (2009)'s VAR-based approach as a possibility. Meanwhile, Odusami's (2010) study allows oil shocks to determine the consumption-to-wealth ratio, therefore implicitly assuming that oil shocks can disrupt optimal consumption expenditure levels.

This paper prefers the assumptions in Mehra and Peterson (2005), which are more consistent with the idea that households, when faced by a rise in oil prices, may reallocate their consumption patterns (creating short run dis-equilibrium while the new preferred consumption bundle is "found"), but will continue to spend the same amount of money in the long run.

3. Methodology and Research Design

The methodological approach used here closely follows that of Mehra and Peterson (2005).⁴ The empirical framework begins with a general/standard macroeconomic specification of (per-capita) household consumption, where the level of consumption in an economy, C_t , is affected by the existing level of wealth, W_t , as well as current and discounted expected future income, Y_t and $E(Y_{t+1})$, respectively, where $i = 1, \dots, \infty$. In this regard, the approach embeds the commonly used PIH, which has been used recently

⁴ The general framework is an extension of an earlier study by Mehra (2001) but is extended here to include oil shocks.

(for example, by Palumbo *et al.*, 2006) to describe consumption by the household sector. Defining consumption, income, wealth, and the interest rate in real terms as C_t, Y_t, W_t , and r_t , respectively, the household budget constraint can be written as:

$$W_{t+1} = (1 + r_t)(W_t + Y_t - C_t), \quad (1)$$

such that next-period wealth equals the discounted value of current-period wealth plus earned income minus any consumption expenditure. Assuming a constant real interest rate ($r_t = r_{t+1} = r$) and imposing the condition that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (W_{t+i} / (1+r)^i) = 0$, then, by repeated substitution of the budget constraint, current-period wealth is obtained as:

$$W_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{t+i}}{(1+r)^i} - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_{t+i}}{(1+r)^i}. \quad (2)$$

Result from Hall (1978), where consumption follows a martingale process, gives $E(C_{t+1}) = C_t$. Then, taking the expectations of equation (2) results in the common form of the PIH:

$$C_t = \frac{r}{1+r} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{E(Y_{t+i})}{(1+r)^i} + \frac{r}{1+r} W_t. \quad (3)$$

Assuming a constant growth rate of real income, g , then $E(Y_{t+1}) = (1+g)Y_t + \eta_{t+1}$, where η_{t+1} is a white noise process. Thus:

$$C_t = \frac{r}{r-g} Y_t + \frac{r}{1+r} W_t + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_{t+i}}{(1+r)^i}. \quad (4)$$

The derivation to this point establishes that a long-run relationship exists between consumption, income, and wealth. Mehra and Peterson (2005) refer to this as the planned level of consumption, C_t^p , expressing it in a simpler form by first taking expectations of the error term and adding a constant term, leading to the estimable long-run relationship

$$C_t^p = a_0 + a_1 Y_t + a_2 W_t, \quad (5)$$

where $a_1 = \frac{r}{r-g}$ and $a_2 = \frac{r}{1+r}$. Actual consumption, however, differs from planned consumption for a multitude of reasons. Campbell and Mankiw (1989) show that the short-run dynamics of consumption can be conveniently written in the form of an error correction model:

$$\Delta C_t = b_0 + b_1 (C_{t-1}^p - C_{t-1}) + b_2 \Delta C_{t-1}^p + \sum_{s=1}^k b_{3s} \Delta C_{t-s} + \mu_t. \quad (6)$$

Substituting equation (5) into (6),

$$\Delta C_t = b_0 + b_1 (a_0 + a_1 Y_{t-1} + a_2 W_{t-1} - C_{t-1}) + b_2 \Delta (a_0 + a_1 Y_{t-1} + a_2 W_{t-1}) + \sum_{s=1}^k b_{3s} \Delta C_{t-s} + \mu_t. \quad (7)$$

Assuming that future income grows constantly relative to the current level, and that consumers have rational expectations, the expected value of accumulated and discounted future income streams is proportional to the current income. The model can be simplified to:

$$\Delta C_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (C_{t-1}^p - C_{t-1}) + \beta_2 \Delta Y_{t-1} + \beta_3 \Delta W_{t-1} + \sum_{s=1}^k \beta_{4s} \Delta C_{t-s} + \mu_t. \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) is the baseline model used in the analysis to capture the dynamics of consumption changes. Following Mehra and Peterson (2005), oil prices are augmented into the short-run equation


$$\Delta C_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (C_{t-1}^p - C_{t-1}) + \beta_2 \Delta Y_{t-1} + \beta_3 \Delta W_{t-1} + \sum_{s=1}^k \beta_{4s} \Delta C_{t-s} + \sum_{s=1}^k \beta_{5s} \Delta oil_{t-s} + \mu_t. \quad (9)$$

Equations (5) and (9) establish the main equation for the empirical analysis.

4. Data

As mentioned earlier, this paper's empirical study covers nine countries: China, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, and Hong Kong. Quarterly frequency data on consumption, income, and wealth⁵ ranging from 1988Q1 to 2012Q4 are obtained from DATASTREAM. Oil price data are taken from the US Energy Information Administration (EIA), and based on European Brent prices since Brent accounts for around 60 percent of international oil trade⁶ (see, for example, Odusami [2010, p. 860] for further discussions on this). The oil price data are shown in Figure 1, which highlights among other things, the significant variation in international prices over the sample period. In particular, note the tremendous surge in prices after 2000, and the subsequent collapse in 2008.

Figure 1: Brent oil price (in US dollars)



All consumption, wealth, and income data are denominated in local currency, and X12 is used to perform seasonal adjustment. The series are all deflated into real terms using the domestic GDP deflator. For the estimation, natural logarithms are taken for each of the series. Oil prices are converted to the local currency to mitigate any exchange rate-related effects, and are also scaled by the GDP deflator into real terms relevant to the domestic economy.⁷

⁵ Wealth is the end-of-quarter per-capita net worth in the household sector (see DATASTREAM's definition).

⁶ Other relevant oil prices (i.e., Daqing, Dubai, Cinta, and Minas) were also compared with Brent. They are highly correlated and follow almost identical trends.

⁷ This study acknowledges the possibility that exchange rate movements can impact consumption since

5. Empirical Results

This section presents and provides some initial explanation on the empirical results. Before going into the details, it is useful to first prove that the error correction model (ECM) output is a (statistically) valid approach to the data, based on the time series properties of the data.

To help validate the ECM application, it is thus useful to conform to the stationarity properties of consumption, income, and wealth. A first condition for the ECM to be "valid" is that the individual series must first be integrated of the first order, denoted as I(1) or non-stationary, which implies that the data are trending. After taking the first differences, the trend component is eliminated and can be denoted as I(0) or stationary. An augmented Dickey-Fuller test (ADF) is used to determine whether each series is stationary or not, with the results reported in Table 13.1. It is clear that these series are each I(1) process (except all variables for Japan, which are marginally stationary around a constant and time trend, and the income variable for Malaysia). In general, these test results indicate that an ECM-type process---and hence the planned consumption framework---may be reasonable.

Table 1: Unit Root Tests for Variables Entering into the Long-Run Consumption Function

Series: Country	Consumption		Income		Wealth	
	ADF level	ADF difference	ADF level	ADF difference	ADF level	ADF difference
CHN	-2.2772	-11.8583**	-2.1367	-7.2622**	-2.2427	-6.1429**
HK	-2.0011	-7.2446**	-1.7805	-6.2573**	-2.5952	-7.1837**
JPN	-3.3841*	-11.3645**	-3.7411*	-14.5814**	-3.3050*	-5.5643**
SIN	-2.8136	-7.3607**	-2.8667	-8.5545**	-2.3826	-7.3174**
MAL	-3.1281	-10.4676**	-3.8936*	-6.3655**		
IND	-2.3077	-11.9654**	-1.5151	-8.4556**		
THAI	-3.0902	-8.0644**	-2.7742	-9.5302**		
TW	-2.2113	-9.7606**	-2.8381	-9.2934**		
SK	-2.2315	-6.6824**	-2.5851	-6.1092**		

Note: lag orders are for the test are selected using SIC, as is the inclusion of a deterministic trend. Stars are used to denote significance as follows: ** for 1-percent level of significance and * for 5 percent.

Wealth data for the whole sample period are only available for China, Japan, Hong

there are many exports in this region. However, consistent with the body of literature reviewed in this paper, such is not considered further here, although this is an area where further research is justified.

Kong and Singapore; other regions either do not have wealth data or have only very short series available---too short for robust or consistent comparison. For regions with wealth data available, all three variables (wealth, income, and consumption) are used to establish the equilibrium.

5.1. The Estimated Consumption Function and Evidence of a "Statistical" Equilibrium

Testing the validity of the planned consumption framework and the PIH is not the primary interest of this study. Nonetheless, it is important to confirm as far as practicable that this framework applies readily to the data in the selected sample. A second condition that must be satisfied for an ECM to be valued is that the residuals from estimating Equation (5) are themselves I(0) or stationary. Table 13.2 gives the results of both ADF and KPSS (Kwiatkowski *et al.* 1992) tests. The KPSS is generally preferred on theoretical grounds. It is also considered since Thailand and South Korea seem to fail on the simpler ADF test. For these latter two countries, the KPSS test still supports stationarity and justifies proceeding to estimate the short run ECMs in Equation (4).

Cumulatively, the results in Tables 1 and 2 support the existence of error correction. Strictly speaking, the results do not prove the planned consumption approach to be valid, but there is certainly strong evidence that the planned consumption framework has significant merit for the countries/sample period under investigation.

Table 2: Residual Based Co-Integration Tests for Sample-Countries

Residuals for	ADF test	KPSS test
CHN	-3.9112*	0.0560
HK	-4.4742**	0.0359
JPN	-7.2549**	0.0586
SIN	-4.2143*	0.0455
MAL	-5.3767**	0.0345
IND	-4.7471**	0.0781
THAI	-3.2905	0.0760
TW	-3.4807*	0.0610
SK	-3.3522	0.0543

Note: Critical values for ADF test on co-integration is taken from MacKinnon (1991). The KPSS test on co-integration is taken from Shin (1994). Stars are used to denote significance as follows: ** for 1-percent level of significance and * for 5 percent.

The ECM results reported below in Table 3 and their interpretation have several important aspects. Before discussing the role of international energy prices, it is useful to understand the general behaviour/performance of the income and wealth components of the models to ensure that they are (at least reasonably) consistent with expectations. In this regard, the first areas to look at are the standard components of the consumption function; namely, the wealth, income, lagged consumption, and error correction effects. This is discussed briefly in the next section before moving on to the role of international oil shocks in each of the studied countries.

Table 3: ECM Regression Results for Asymmetric Oil Shocks

	China	Hong Kong	Japan	Singapore	Indonesia	Malaysia	South Korea	Taiwan	Thailand
Intercept	2.614**	0.841**	0.468*	1.305**	1.747**	1.147	0.531	1.406**	0.865
p-value	0	0.001	0.04	0.001	0.008	0.115	0.354	0	0.174
ect_1	-31.287**	-22.027**	-54.637**	-6.279	-16.158	-15.664*	-10.229*	1.021	-4.224
p-value	0.001	0.001	0	0.356	0.142	0.034	0.023	0.953	0.356
inc_1	-0.091	0.072	-0.144	-0.293*	0.043	0.36*	0.423	0.63	0.034
p-value	0.497	0.13	0.197	0.048	0.8	0.037	0.535	0.13	0.811
wea_1	-0.064	0.028	-0.142	0.021					
p-value	0.656	0.584	0.121	0.749					
con_1	-0.122	0.227	0.039	0.491*	-0.125	-0.098	0.233	-0.731	0.175
p-value	0.381	0.054	0.684	0.012	0.322	0.322	0.399	0.087	0.478
oil_neg_1	-0.004	-0.009	0.016	0.052*	-0.011	0.036	-0.011	0.013	0.036*
p-value	0.834	0.633	0.262	0.041	0.487	0.136	0.446	0.455	0.03
oil_neg_2	-0.011	-0.045*	-0.022**	-0.007	-0.023	-0.006	-0.039**	-0.048**	-0.007
p-value	0.427	0.022	0.001	0.691	0.394	0.72	0.01	0	0.684
oil_neg_3	0.018	0.021	0.003	-0.006	-0.011	0.061*	0.01	-0.008	-0.011
p-value	0.375	0.145	0.655	0.606	0.677	0.024	0.511	0.431	0.545
oil_neg_4	-0.041	0	-0.005	0.015	0.082*	0.054	0.002	-0.025	-0.023
p-value	0.292	0.987	0.334	0.218	0.035	0.241	0.823	0.074	0.186
oil_pos_1	-0.007	-0.051	0.01	-0.01	-0.05	0.001	0.016	0.001	0.01
p-value	0.698	0.008	0.289	0.649	0.316	0.954	0.359	0.916	0.698
oil_pos_2	-0.001	0.032	-0.002	0.013	0.042	0.09**	0.012	-0.004	-0.026
p-value	0.961	0.114	0.839	0.446	0.271	0.009	0.65	0.816	0.144
oil_pos_3	-0.037*	-0.015	0.026	0.025	0.03	0.015	-0.011	0.009	0.018
p-value	0.022	0.395	0.086	0.376	0.371	0.658	0.483	0.67	0.394
oil_pos_4	0.009	-0.015	-0.026	-0.029	-0.049	0.015	-0.042*	-0.046	-0.007
p-value	0.564	0.384	0.069	0.14	0.089	0.693	0.033	0.062	0.677
Log.lik.	-211.204	-187.46	-123.12	-195.221	-270.79	-238.73	-198.02	-179.77	-204.63

Note: Inference is based on heteroscedasticity and auto-correlation corrected standard errors. Stars are used to denote significance as follows: ** for 1-percent level of significance and * for 5 percent. Structural breaks were tested for using a Quant-Andrews test procedure, but not found to be significant and hence not reported.

5.2. Wealth, Income, Lagged Consumption and Error Correction

The "standard" components of the consumption function are wealth and income, mediated in the short- and long-run via lagged consumption effects and error correction terms.

As earlier mentioned, the wealth component can only be modelled for four out of the five regions owing to data limitations. Results are available for China, Hong Kong, Japan, and Singapore. For each of these, the result is the same. That is, that the wealth component is statistically insignificant (Table 3).

Since the estimated equations explain the short-run effects, it can then be concluded that changes in wealth do not generate an immediate short-run change in the level of consumption by the residential sector. Although no clear conclusion can be drawn for the remaining five regions, it seems likely that similar results might exist---i.e., that wealth is not a short-run determinant of residential consumption. This does not rule out the possibility of a long-run relationship.

This finding differs from the study of Mehra and Peterson (2005), which show a positive wealth effect for the US data. The difference may, in part, be explained by the generally different stages of economic development, where the Asia region is still generally catching up with the US. Also, different social and political structures may underpin different attitudes towards the treatment of wealth in consumption choices.

As to the effect of income, results are quite mixed across the nine regions. Some of the more major/developed economies such as China, Hong Kong, and Japan as well as some of the smaller economies that include Taiwan and Thailand, have no short-run reaction to changes in income. On the other hand, Indonesia, Malaysia, and South Korea all see a short-run increase in consumption as a result of a change in the level of income. Perhaps most interesting is Singapore's case, where the relationship between income and residential consumption in the short-run is negative. What mechanism justifies rising incomes to result in lower consumption expenditure? One likely answer lies in the partial scope of this study's analysis, where only the consumption of non-durable items was considered and therefore, the effect on durable items or even savings/investments cannot be ascertained. It is possible that rising incomes lead to a substitution across consumption categories beyond those classified in this study's data.

Lagged consumption is an important variable since it embeds within it additional routes for income and wealth effects to emerge. This is easily seen by noting from

Equation (5) that:

$$C_{t-1}^p = a_0 + a_1 Y_{t-1} + a_2 W_{t-1} \quad (10)$$

Therefore, when the coefficient on the lagged term is significant, the lagged income and wealth effects may possibly be transmitted to short-run consumption. The lagged terms are significant for Hong Kong, Singapore, and Taiwan. For Hong Kong and Taiwan in particular, the existence of some long-run income effect is implied by the auto-regressive lagged consumption term (while noting again that wealth information is not available for Taiwan). Indonesia and Thailand show no sign of a stable long-run relationship of any type, since neither the error correction term nor the auto-regressive term (the lagged consumption) is significant. These two countries are smaller and, in relative terms, have lower levels of political and economic development/stability than the other regions studied. Given the relatively short study window, it is perhaps not entirely surprising that a stable result cannot be found.


Error correction---i.e., a significant adjustment from actual consumption to some equilibrium level of planned consumption---is seen in China, Hong Kong, Japan, Malaysia, and South Korea. If one was willing to accept a loose 15-percent significance level, then Indonesia is also error correcting. For Singapore, Taiwan, and Thailand, error correction---and hence significant dis-equilibrium adjustment---is not a feature of the sample data. As mentioned above, these cases are not considered evidence that the theory itself is invalid. The theory simply does not hold strongly enough within the narrow sample period.

Oil shocks, which are entered into the model with up to four lags, are seen to be significant in all countries. However, there are some notable differences in how they affect each of the countries. China is only affected by positive price shocks, while Thailand, Taiwan, and Singapore are only impacted by negative shocks. Hong Kong, Japan, Indonesia, Malaysia, and South Korea feel the impact from both price rises and falls. Reactions to both negative and positive price shocks can be either positive or negative.

5.3. A Simple Counter-Factual Assessment of the Impact of International Oil Shocks

While important, the results in Table 3 require a certain amount of effort to discern and interpret. To this end, Figure 2 summarises the empirical implications of the results much more directly by providing a simple counter-factual simulation of what household consumption may have been if international oil shocks had not occurred (i.e., if international prices remained fixed at the real 1989Q2 price; hence, nominal prices would change directly in line with domestic inflation). Other scenarios are of course possible, but this one serves as an illuminating benchmark to the net consequence of oil shocks on each of the economies. This is done by taking the cumulative values of the short-run fitted equation (Equation 9), and calculating the resulting level of consumption expenditure when (1) the oil shocks are as observed in the real data; and (2) when the oil shocks are set to zero (i.e., international oil prices are held fixed in real terms at the 1988Q1 level).

Figure 2: Counter-factual Assessment of Consumption Expenditure in the Absence of International Oil Shocks



Note: Solid (black) lines denote actual consumption expenditure; Dashed (blue) lines denote counter-factual consumption expenditure in the absence of international oil price shocks.

Figure 2 shows that some economies have benefited from changes in the international price of energy while others have been hurt. Expectedly, major oil importers China and Japan are negatively affected by oil price changes, which have generally been increasing over the analysis period. Interestingly, but still not surprisingly, the oil exporters (Singapore who import crude oil and export refined oil products, and Indonesia and Malaysia who export crude oil) have benefited from rising international oil prices where the extra revenue to the economy from oil exports enables higher levels of consumption to be sustained. Meanwhile, the rest of the countries in this study (notwithstanding Thailand) would have had higher levels of household consumption had the international oil price not been fluctuating over time.

The results for Thailand are, on the surface, thought provoking. The country is perhaps the most unstable (economically and politically) of all of the nations in the sample, and this paper makes no real effort to justify these findings. The ECM for this country has very low explanatory power, suggesting that more work may still be needed in the estimation.

Preliminary results have shown some interesting findings. Oil shocks do impact household consumption decisions in the short run, and these effects show clear asymmetries. The ECM coefficient is of course important, but on itself conveys only a limited message. Counter-factual assessment of the domestic household consumption had international oil shocks not occurred proves enlightening.

One key message is that international oil shocks are actually good news for many countries; hence, regulations against international oil shocks may not be as advisable as it may first appear. While most of the economies in the ASEAN and East Asia area are trying to make their domestic oil market more flexible towards free markets, it might be worth thinking carefully what the appropriate speed and timing of liberalisation must be.

Energy market integration opportunities are a focus within the region. The results here offer some indirect insights. That is, collaboration and integration may be helpful in terms of risk sharing/hedging against international price shocks or optimizing resource allocation; however, the stronger economies must be prepared to play their part.

6. Policy Implications and Conclusions

A core purpose of this study is to add new evidences on energy market interactions in the region and then to consider how the evidences contribute to EMI. Energy market integration is a desired objective for the region. After all, energy is naturally an industry with substantial scale economies (e.g., in power generation), and creates a need for cross-border trade since many of the energy resources (e.g., oil and coal reserves) are not located in the same place where they are consumed.

Broadly speaking, international oil shocks are a common concern facing all countries in the region, either directly or indirectly. As the ASEAN and East Asia combined is a heavily oil-importing region with very close geographical ties and in many cases very close historical relationships, establishing a platform for shared debate and shared resilience to international markets would have several advantages.

By definition, an important aspect of the EMI is the "market", which is broadly composed of three players: suppliers, consumers, and a governing body. Existing research works in relation to a possible EMI already reveal substantial information on the state of governance in the region---for example, overlapping political systems and general energy market regulation---although there is still room for increased transparency in governance, as will be discussed further below. Likewise, there is a growing body of evidence on EMI in the production (or supply) side of the picture. Now, what this paper here attempts to do is to provide an assessment on the demand side from one perspective so as to identify what additional considerations are needed for a comprehensive EMI.

When considering the EMI opportunities that the results imply, there are several points to keep in mind. For one, existing studies that had looked at the energy supply of the regional economies prove that market integration is feasible. However, by focusing on the pass-through effects of oil prices to the consumer side of the economy, it can be shown that a more complicated picture prevails. Reactions to international energy shocks differ widely, due in part to the differing levels of scale and economic development, as well as to the differing dependencies on imported oil/energy. This implies a need for a different type of strategy towards EMI on the demand side. Lessening the impact of oil shocks on those countries more severely affected would help smoothen consumption in the region and therefore support regional integration in energy.

Just a word of caution: A comprehensive EMI (i.e., integration across supply, demand, and governance) is not supported immediately by this study's results, nor should it be. By making the consumer side of the economy the point of focus here, this study makes it more apparent that there are important specificities across countries in the region. These are a result of differing lifestyles, social structures, attitudes to religion, political systems, resource endowments, levels of development, education, etc. Accordingly, households' energy consumption patterns are likely to differ widely from one country to the next. For example, Indonesia and Singapore each represents opposing ends of the economic development spectrum.

While consumers across countries might aspire to have similar energy supply technologies with common regulation over suppliers, they will still have differing energy consumption demands consistent with their differing lifestyles. Thus, a comprehensive EMI must have looser boundaries for acceptable integration on the consumer side.

References

- Bernanke, B.S., M. Gertler and M. Watson (1997), 'Systematic Monetary Policy and the Effects of Oil Price Shocks,' *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 1, pp. 91-142.
- Broadstock, D., R. Wang and D. Zhang (2014), 'Direct and Indirect Oil Shocks and Their Impacts Upon Energy Related Stocks,' *Economic System* (forthcoming).
- Campbell, J.Y. and G. Mankiw. (1989), 'Consumption, Income, and Interest Rates: Reinterpreting the Time Series Evidence,' NBER Working Paper No. 2436.
- Edelstein, P. and L. Kilian (2009), 'How Sensitive Are Consumer Expenditures to Retail Energy Prices?' *Journal of Monetary Economics* 56(6), pp. 766-779.
- ESCAP (2011), 'Asia Pacific Trade and Investment Report 2011: Post Crisis Trade and Investment Opportunities,' United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UNESCAP).
- Friedman, M. (1956), *A Theory of the Consumption Function*, Princeton University Press.
- Hall, R.E. (1978), 'Stochastic Implications of the Life Cycle-permanent Income Hypothesis: Theory and Evidence,' *Journal of Political Economy* 86, pp. 971-988.
- Hamilton, J. (1983), 'Oil and the Macroeconomy Since World War II,' *Journal of Political Economy* 91(2), pp. 228-248.
- Hamilton, J. (2003), 'What is An Oil Shock?,' *Journal of Econometrics* 113, pp. 363-398.
- Kwiatkowski, D., P. C. B. Phillips, P. Schmidt, and Y. Shin, (1992), 'Testing the Null

- Hypothesis of Stationarity against the Alternative of a unit root,' *Journal of Econometrics* 54 (1–3), pp. 159–178.
- Lee, K., S. Ni, and R. Ratti (1995), 'Oil Shocks and the Macroeconomy: The Role of Price Variability,' *Energy Journal* 16, pp. 39-56.
- Lu, Z., Y. Matsuo, Y. Yamashita, and K. Ito (2012), 'Long-Term Outlook of Energy Demand and Supply, and CO 2 Emissions in China,' *Asia/World Energy Outlook 2011 (China)*.
- Mehra, Y.P. (2001), 'The Wealth Effect in Empirical Life-Cycle Aggregate Consumption Equations,' *Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond Economic Quarterly* 87(2), pp. 45–68.
- Mehra, Y.P. and J.D. Peterson (2005), 'Oil Prices and Consumer Spending,' *FRB Richmond Economic Quarterly* 91(3), pp. 53-72.
- Mork, K.A. (1989), 'Oil and the Macroeconomy When Prices Go Up And Down: An Extension of Hamilton's Results,' *Journal of Political Economy* 91, pp. 740–744.
- Odusami, B.O. (2010), 'To Consume Or Not: How Oil Prices Affect the Co-movement of Consumption and Aggregate Wealth,' *Energy Economics* 32, pp. 857–867.
- Palumbo, M., J. Rudd, and K. Whelan (2006), 'On the Relationships between Real Consumption, Income, and Wealth,' *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 24(1), pp. 1-11.
- Shi, X. and F. Kimura (2010), *Energy Market Integration in the East Asia Summit Region: Review of Initiatives and Estimation of Benefits*. Jakarta: Economic, Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA).
- The World Factbook (2012), Washington, DC: Central Intelligence Agency.
- Wang, Y.S. (2013), 'Oil Price Effects on Personal Consumption Expenditures,' *Energy Economics* 36, pp. 198–204.
- Zhang, D. (2008), 'Oil Shock and Economic Growth in Japan: A Nonlinear Approach,' *Energy Economics* 30, pp. 2374-2390.

ERIA Discussion Paper Series

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2014-24	Dayong ZHANG and David C. Broadstock	Impact of International Oil Price Shocks on Consumption Expenditures in ASEAN and East Asia	Nov 2014
2014-23	Dandan ZHANG, Xunpeng SHI, and Yu SHENG	Enhanced Measurement of Energy Market Integration in East Asia: An Application of Dynamic Principal Component Analysis	Nov 2014
2014-22	Yanrui WU	Deregulation, Competition, and Market Integration in China's Electricity Sector	Nov 2014
2014-21	Yanfei LI and Youngho CHANG	Infrastructure Investments for Power Trade and Transmission in ASEAN+2: Costs, Benefits, Long-Term Contracts, and Prioritised Development	Nov 2014
2014-20	Yu SHENG, Yanrui WU, Xunpeng SHI, Dandan ZHANG	Market Integration and Energy Trade Efficiency: An Application of Malmqvist Index to Analyse Multi-Product Trade	Nov 2014
2014-19	Andindya BHATTACHARYA and Tania BHATTACHARYA	ASEAN-India Gas Cooperation: Redefining India's "Look East" Policy with Myanmar	Nov 2014
2014-18	Olivier CADOT, Lili Yan ING	How Restrictive Are ASEAN's RoO?	Sep 2014
2014-17	Sadayuki TAKII	Import Penetration, Export Orientation, and Plant Size in Indonesian Manufacturing	July 2014
2014-16	Tomoko INUI, Keiko ITO, and Daisuke MIYAKAWA	Japanese Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises' Export Decisions: The Role of Overseas Market Information	July 2014
2014-15	Han PHOUMIN and Fukunari KIMURA	Trade-off Relationship between Energy Intensity-thus energy demand- and Income Level: Empirical Evidence and Policy Implications for ASEAN and East Asia Countries	June 2014
2014-14	Cassey LEE	The Exporting and Productivity Nexus: Does Firm Size Matter?	May 2014

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2014-13	Yifan ZHANG	Productivity Evolution of Chinese large and Small Firms in the Era of Globalisation	May 2014
2014-12	Valéria SMEETS, Sharon TRAIBERMAN, Frederic WARZYNSKI	Offshoring and the Shortening of the Quality Ladder:Evidence from Danish Apparel	May 2014
2014-11	Inkyo CHEONG	Korea's Policy Package for Enhancing its FTA Utilization and Implications for Korea's Policy	May 2014
2014-10	Sothea OUM, Dionisius NARJOKO, and Charles HARVIE	Constraints, Determinants of SME Innovation, and the Role of Government Support	May 2014
2014-09	Christopher PARSONS and Pierre-Louis Vézina	Migrant Networks and Trade: The Vietnamese Boat People as a Natural Experiment	May 2014
2014-08	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA and Toshiyuki MATSUURA	Dynamic Tow-way Relationship between Exporting and Importing: Evidence from Japan	May 2014
2014-07	DOAN Thi Thanh Ha and Kozo KIYOTA	Firm-level Evidence on Productivity Differentials and Turnover in Vietnamese Manufacturing	Apr 2014
2014-06	Larry QIU and Miaojie YU	Multiproduct Firms, Export Product Scope, and Trade Liberalization: The Role of Managerial Efficiency	Apr 2014
2014-05	Han PHOUMIN and Shigeru KIMURA	Analysis on Price Elasticity of Energy Demand in East Asia: Empirical Evidence and Policy Implications for ASEAN and East Asia	Apr 2014
2014-04	Youngho CHANG and Yanfei LI	Non-renewable Resources in Asian Economies: Perspectives of Availability, Applicability, Acceptability, and Affordability	Feb 2014
2014-03	Yasuyuki SAWADA and Fauziah ZEN	Disaster Management in ASEAN	Jan 2014
2014-02	Cassey LEE	Competition Law Enforcement in Malaysia	Jan 2014
2014-01	Rizal SUKMA	ASEAN Beyond 2015: The Imperatives for Further Institutional Changes	Jan 2014
2013-38	Toshihiro OKUBO, Fukunari KIMURA, Nozomu TESHIMA	Asian Fragmentation in the Global Financial Crisis	Dec 2013

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2013-37	Xunpeng SHI and Cecilya MALIK	Assessment of ASEAN Energy Cooperation within the ASEAN Economic Community	Dec 2013
2013-36	Tereso S. TULLAO, Jr. And Christopher James CABUAY	Education and Human Capital Development to Strengthen R&D Capacity in the ASEAN	Dec 2013
2013-35	Paul A. RASCHKY	Estimating the Effects of West Sumatra Public Asset Insurance Program on Short-Term Recovery after the September 2009 Earthquake	Dec 2013
2013-34	Nipon POAPONSAKORN and Pitsom MEETHOM	Impact of the 2011 Floods, and Food Management in Thailand	Nov 2013
2013-33	Mitsuyo ANDO	Development and Resructuring of Regional Production/Distribution Networks in East Asia	Nov 2013
2013-32	Mitsuyo ANDO and Fukunari KIMURA	Evolution of Machinery Production Networks: Linkage of North America with East Asia?	Nov 2013
2013-31	Mitsuyo ANDO and Fukunari KIMURA	What are the Opportunities and Challenges for ASEAN?	Nov 2013
2013-30	Simon PEETMAN	Standards Harmonisation in ASEAN: Progress, Challenges and Moving Beyond 2015	Nov 2013
2013-29	Jonathan KOH and Andrea Feldman MOWERMAN	Towards a Truly Seamless Single Windows and Trade Facilitation Regime in ASEAN Beyond 2015	Nov 2013
2013-28	Rajah RASIAH	Stimulating Innovation in ASEAN Institutional Support, R&D Activity and Intelletual Property Rights	Nov 2013
2013-27	Maria Monica WIHARDJA	Financial Integration Challenges in ASEAN beyond 2015	Nov 2013
2013-26	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Who Disseminates Technology to Whom, How, and Why: Evidence from Buyer-Seller Business Networks	Nov 2013
2013-25	Fukunari KIMURA	Reconstructing the Concept of “Single Market a Production Base” for ASEAN beyond 2015	Oct 2013
2013-24	Olivier CADOT Ernawati MUNADI Lili Yan ING	Streamlining NTMs in ASEAN: The Way Forward	Oct 2013

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2013-23	Charles HARVIE, Dionisius NARJOKO, Sothea OUM	Small and Medium Enterprises' Access to Finance: Evidence from Selected Asian Economies	Oct 2013
2013-22	Alan Khee-Jin TAN	Toward a Single Aviation Market in ASEAN: Regulatory Reform and Industry Challenges	Oct 2013
2013-21	Hisanobu SHISHIDO, Shintaro SUGIYAMA, Fauziah ZEN	Moving MPAC Forward: Strengthening Public-Private Partnership, Improving Project Portfolio and in Search of Practical Financing Schemes	Oct 2013
2013-20	Barry DESKER, Mely CABALLERO-ANTH ONY, Paul TENG	Thought/Issues Paper on ASEAN Food Security: Towards a more Comprehensive Framework	Oct 2013
2013-19	Toshihiro KUDO, Satoru KUMAGAI, So UMEZAKI	Making Myanmar the Star Growth Performer in ASEAN in the Next Decade: A Proposal of Five Growth Strategies	Sep 2013
2013-18	Ruperto MAJUCA	Managing Economic Shocks and Macroeconomic Coordination in an Integrated Region: ASEAN Beyond 2015	Sep 2013
2013-17	Cassy LEE and Yoshifumi FUKUNAGA	Competition Policy Challenges of Single Market and Production Base	Sep 2013
2013-16	Simon TAY	Growing an ASEAN Voice? : A Common Platform in Global and Regional Governance	Sep 2013
2013-15	Danilo C. ISRAEL and Roehlano M. BRIONES	Impacts of Natural Disasters on Agriculture, Food Security, and Natural Resources and Environment in the Philippines	Aug 2013
2013-14	Allen Yu-Hung LAI and Seck L. TAN	Impact of Disasters and Disaster Risk Management in Singapore: A Case Study of Singapore's Experience in Fighting the SARS Epidemic	Aug 2013
2013-13	Brent LAYTON	Impact of Natural Disasters on Production Networks and Urbanization in New Zealand	Aug 2013
2013-12	Mitsuyo ANDO	Impact of Recent Crises and Disasters on Regional Production/Distribution Networks and Trade in Japan	Aug 2013
2013-11	Le Dang TRUNG	Economic and Welfare Impacts of Disasters in East	Aug

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
		Asia and Policy Responses: The Case of Vietnam	2013
2013-10	Sann VATHANA, Sothea OUM, Ponhrith KAN, Colas CHERVIER	Impact of Disasters and Role of Social Protection in Natural Disaster Risk Management in Cambodia	Aug 2013
2013-09	Sommarat CHANTARAT, Krikk PANNANGPETCH, Nattapong PUTTANAPONG, Preesan RAKWATIN, and Thanasin TANOMPONGPHANDH	Index-Based Risk Financing and Development of Natural Disaster Insurance Programs in Developing Asian Countries	Aug 2013
2013-08	Ikumo ISONO and Satoru KUMAGAI	Long-run Economic Impacts of Thai Flooding: Geographical Simulation Analysis	July 2013
2013-07	Yoshifumi FUKUNAGA and Hikaru ISHIDO	Assessing the Progress of Services Liberalization in the ASEAN-China Free Trade Area (ACFTA)	May 2013
2013-06	Ken ITAKURA, Yoshifumi FUKUNAGA, and Ikumo ISONO	A CGE Study of Economic Impact of Accession of Hong Kong to ASEAN-China Free Trade Agreement	May 2013
2013-05	Misa OKABE and Shujiro URATA	The Impact of AFTA on Intra-AFTA Trade	May 2013
2013-04	Kohei SHIINO	How Far Will Hong Kong's Accession to ACFTA will Impact on Trade in Goods?	May 2013
2013-03	Cassey LEE and Yoshifumi FUKUNAGA	ASEAN Regional Cooperation on Competition Policy	Apr 2013
2013-02	Yoshifumi FUKUNAGA and Ikumo ISONO	Taking ASEAN+1 FTAs towards the RCEP: A Mapping Study	Jan 2013
2013-01	Ken ITAKURA	Impact of Liberalization and Improved Connectivity and Facilitation in ASEAN for the ASEAN Economic Community	Jan 2013
2012-17	Sun XUEGONG, Guo LIYAN, Zeng ZHENG	Market Entry Barriers for FDI and Private Investors: Lessons from China's Electricity Market	Aug 2012
2012-16	Yanrui WU	Electricity Market Integration: Global Trends and Implications for the EAS Region	Aug 2012

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2012-15	Youngho CHANG, Yanfei LI	Power Generation and Cross-border Grid Planning for the Integrated ASEAN Electricity Market: A Dynamic Linear Programming Model	Aug 2012
2012-14	Yanrui WU, Xunpeng SHI	Economic Development, Energy Market Integration and Energy Demand: Implications for East Asia	Aug 2012
2012-13	Joshua AIZENMAN, Minsoo LEE, and Donghyun PARK	The Relationship between Structural Change and Inequality: A Conceptual Overview with Special Reference to Developing Asia	July 2012
2012-12	Hyun-Hoon LEE, Minsoo LEE, and Donghyun PARK	Growth Policy and Inequality in Developing Asia: Lessons from Korea	July 2012
2012-11	Cassey LEE	Knowledge Flows, Organization and Innovation: Firm-Level Evidence from Malaysia	June 2012
2012-10	Jacques MAIRESSE, Pierre MOHNEN, Yayun ZHAO, and Feng ZHEN	Globalization, Innovation and Productivity in Manufacturing Firms: A Study of Four Sectors of China	June 2012
2012-09	Ari KUNCORO	Globalization and Innovation in Indonesia: Evidence from Micro-Data on Medium and Large Manufacturing Establishments	June 2012
2012-08	Alfons PALANGKARAYA	The Link between Innovation and Export: Evidence from Australia's Small and Medium Enterprises	June 2012
2012-07	Chin Hee HAHN and Chang-Gyun PARK	Direction of Causality in Innovation-Exporting Linkage: Evidence on Korean Manufacturing	June 2012
2012-06	Keiko ITO	Source of Learning-by-Exporting Effects: Does Exporting Promote Innovation?	June 2012
2012-05	Rafaelita M. ALDABA	Trade Reforms, Competition, and Innovation in the Philippines	June 2012
2012-04	Toshiyuki MATSUURA and Kazunobu HAYAKAWA	The Role of Trade Costs in FDI Strategy of Heterogeneous Firms: Evidence from Japanese Firm-level Data	June 2012
2012-03	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Fukunari KIMURA, and Hyun-Hoon LEE	How Does Country Risk Matter for Foreign Direct Investment?	Feb 2012
2012-02	Ikumo ISONO, Satoru KUMAGAI, Fukunari	Agglomeration and Dispersion in China and ASEAN: A Geographical Simulation Analysis	Jan 2012

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
	KIMURA		
2012-01	Mitsuyo ANDO and Fukunari KIMURA	How Did the Japanese Exports Respond to Two Crises in the International Production Network?: The Global Financial Crisis and the East Japan Earthquake	Jan 2012
2011-10	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Interactive Learning-driven Innovation in Upstream-Downstream Relations: Evidence from Mutual Exchanges of Engineers in Developing Economies	Dec 2011
2011-09	Joseph D. ALBA, Wai-Mun CHIA, and Donghyun PARK	Foreign Output Shocks and Monetary Policy Regimes in Small Open Economies: A DSGE Evaluation of East Asia	Dec 2011
2011-08	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Impacts of Incoming Knowledge on Product Innovation: Econometric Case Studies of Technology Transfer of Auto-related Industries in Developing Economies	Nov 2011
2011-07	Yanrui WU	Gas Market Integration: Global Trends and Implications for the EAS Region	Nov 2011
2011-06	Philip Andrews-SPEED	Energy Market Integration in East Asia: A Regional Public Goods Approach	Nov 2011
2011-05	Yu SHENG, Xunpeng SHI	Energy Market Integration and Economic Convergence: Implications for East Asia	Oct 2011
2011-04	Sang-Hyop LEE, Andrew MASON, and Donghyun PARK	Why Does Population Aging Matter So Much for Asia? Population Aging, Economic Security and Economic Growth in Asia	Aug 2011
2011-03	Xunpeng SHI, Shinichi GOTO	Harmonizing Biodiesel Fuel Standards in East Asia: Current Status, Challenges and the Way Forward	May 2011
2011-02	Hikari ISHIDO	Liberalization of Trade in Services under ASEAN+n : A Mapping Exercise	May 2011
2011-01	Kuo-I CHANG, Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Toshiyuki MATSUURA	Location Choice of Multinational Enterprises in China: Comparison between Japan and Taiwan	Mar 2011
2010-11	Charles HARVIE, Dionisius NARJOKO, Sothea OUM	Firm Characteristic Determinants of SME Participation in Production Networks	Oct 2010

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2010-10	Mitsuyo ANDO	Machinery Trade in East Asia, and the Global Financial Crisis	Oct 2010
2010-09	Fukunari KIMURA Ayako OBASHI	International Production Networks in Machinery Industries: Structure and Its Evolution	Sep 2010
2010-08	Tomohiro MACHIKITA, Shoichi MIYAHARA, Masatsugu TSUJI, and Yasushi UEKI	Detecting Effective Knowledge Sources in Product Innovation: Evidence from Local Firms and MNCs/JVs in Southeast Asia	Aug 2010
2010-07	Tomohiro MACHIKITA, Masatsugu TSUJI, and Yasushi UEKI	How ICTs Raise Manufacturing Performance: Firm-level Evidence in Southeast Asia	Aug 2010
2010-06	Xunpeng SHI	Carbon Footprint Labeling Activities in the East Asia Summit Region: Spillover Effects to Less Developed Countries	July 2010
2010-05	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Fukunari KIMURA, and Tomohiro MACHIKITA	Firm-level Analysis of Globalization: A Survey of the Eight Literatures	Mar 2010
2010-04	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	The Impacts of Face-to-face and Frequent Interactions on Innovation: Upstream-Downstream Relations	Feb 2010
2010-03	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Innovation in Linked and Non-linked Firms: Effects of Variety of Linkages in East Asia	Feb 2010
2010-02	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Search-theoretic Approach to Securing New Suppliers: Impacts of Geographic Proximity for Importer and Non-importer	Feb 2010
2010-01	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Spatial Architecture of the Production Networks in Southeast Asia: Empirical Evidence from Firm-level Data	Feb 2010
2009-23	Dionisius NARJOKO	Foreign Presence Spillovers and Firms' Export Response: Evidence from the Indonesian Manufacturing	Nov 2009
2009-22	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Daisuke HIRATSUKA,	Who Uses Free Trade Agreements?	Nov 2009

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
	Kohei SHIINO, and Seiya SUKEGAWA		
2009-21	Ayako OBASHI	Resiliency of Production Networks in Asia: Evidence from the Asian Crisis	Oct 2009
2009-20	Mitsuyo ANDO and Fukunari KIMURA	Fragmentation in East Asia: Further Evidence	Oct 2009
2009-19	Xunpeng SHI	The Prospects for Coal: Global Experience and Implications for Energy Policy	Sept 2009
2009-18	Sothea OUM	Income Distribution and Poverty in a CGE Framework: A Proposed Methodology	Jun 2009
2009-17	Erlinda M. MEDALLA and Jenny BALBOA	ASEAN Rules of Origin: Lessons and Recommendations for the Best Practice	Jun 2009
2009-16	Masami ISHIDA	Special Economic Zones and Economic Corridors	Jun 2009
2009-15	Toshihiro KUDO	Border Area Development in the GMS: Turning the Periphery into the Center of Growth	May 2009
2009-14	Claire HOLLWEG and Marn-Heong WONG	Measuring Regulatory Restrictions in Logistics Services	Apr 2009
2009-13	Loreli C. De DIOS	Business View on Trade Facilitation	Apr 2009
2009-12	Patricia SOURDIN and Richard POMFRET	Monitoring Trade Costs in Southeast Asia	Apr 2009
2009-11	Philippa DEE and Huong DINH	Barriers to Trade in Health and Financial Services in ASEAN	Apr 2009
2009-10	Sayuri SHIRAI	The Impact of the US Subprime Mortgage Crisis on the World and East Asia: Through Analyses of Cross-border Capital Movements	Apr 2009
2009-09	Mitsuyo ANDO and Akie IRIYAMA	International Production Networks and Export/Import Responsiveness to Exchange Rates: The Case of Japanese Manufacturing Firms	Mar 2009
2009-08	Archanun KOHPAIBOON	Vertical and Horizontal FDI Technology Spillovers: Evidence from Thai Manufacturing	Mar 2009
2009-07	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Fukunari KIMURA, and	Gains from Fragmentation at the Firm Level: Evidence from Japanese Multinationals in East Asia	Mar 2009

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
	Toshiyuki MATSUURA		
2009-06	Dionisius A. NARJOKO	Plant Entry in a More Liberalised Industrialisation Process: An Experience of Indonesian Manufacturing during the 1990s	Mar 2009
2009-05	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Fukunari KIMURA, and Tomohiro MACHIKITA	Firm-level Analysis of Globalization: A Survey	Mar 2009
2009-04	Chin Hee HAHN and Chang-Gyun PARK	Learning-by-exporting in Korean Manufacturing: A Plant-level Analysis	Mar 2009
2009-03	Ayako OBASHI	Stability of Production Networks in East Asia: Duration and Survival of Trade	Mar 2009
2009-02	Fukunari KIMURA	The Spatial Structure of Production/Distribution Networks and Its Implication for Technology Transfers and Spillovers	Mar 2009
2009-01	Fukunari KIMURA and Ayako OBASHI	International Production Networks: Comparison between China and ASEAN	Jan 2009
2008-03	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA and Fukunari KIMURA	The Effect of Exchange Rate Volatility on International Trade in East Asia	Dec 2008
2008-02	Satoru KUMAGAI, Toshitaka GOKAN, Ikumo ISONO, and Souknilanh KEOLA	Predicting Long-Term Effects of Infrastructure Development Projects in Continental South East Asia: IDE Geographical Simulation Model	Dec 2008
2008-01	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Fukunari KIMURA, and Tomohiro MACHIKITA	Firm-level Analysis of Globalization: A Survey	Dec 2008