

ERIA Discussion Paper Series

**Host-site Support, Foreign Ownership,
Regional Linkages and Technological
Capabilities: Evidence from Automotive Firms
in Indonesia***

Rajah RASIAH[†]

Development Studies Department, University of Malaya

Rafat Beigpoor SHAHRIVAR

Development Studies Department, University of Malaya

Abdusy Syakur AMIN

Faculty of Engineering, University of Pasundan

February 2015

Abstract: *This study analyses the influence of host-site institutional support, foreign ownership and regional production linkages on firm-level technological capabilities using data from automotive firms in Indonesia. The results show that host-site institutional support and foreign ownership are positively correlated with technological capabilities. In addition, regional linkages show a significant and positive link on technological capabilities. However, regional linkages and foreign ownership explain more strongly technological capabilities than host-site institutional support in automotive firms in Indonesia. This evidence suggests that the supporting high tech environment require further strengthening to stimulate higher technological capabilities in the automotive industry in Indonesia.*

Keywords: automotive firms, institutional support, Indonesia, regional linkages, technological capabilities

JEL Classification: L62, L22, L14, O31

* : We acknowledge financial support from the Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA). We are grateful to useful comments from to referees. The paper is under review for a special issue of *Asia Pacific Business Review* (www.tandfonline.com/fapb).

[†] Corresponding author, email: rajah@um.edu.my

1. Introduction

The automotive industry is a key contributor to national economic growth, particularly in large industrialised countries. The global automotive industry produced approximately 70 million units each year since the turn of the millennium (Ulengin, Önsel, Aktas, & Kabak, 2010). While the United States, Japan and Germany are the major automobile producers of national brands in the world, others such as Korea, China and India have increasingly become important.

A number of developing countries introduced policies to promote automotive assembly as an engine of industrialization, as well as, to save foreign exchange through import-substitution. Indonesia is no different as through import substitution foreign assemblers were attracted to the country. A localization policy was continued strongly during Suharto's long presidency that stimulated even the introduction of Indonesia-based models by foreign firms, such as Toyota. The introduction of regional production strategies and a strong domestic market helped Indonesia sustain growth in automobile production despite the liberalization that followed as President Habibie succeeded President Suharto.

The growing technological capabilities enjoyed by automotive firms in Indonesia was identified by Rasiyah and Amin (2010). It will be interesting to identify the drivers of such technological capabilities. Hence, this study seeks to examine the influence of host-site institutional support, foreign ownership and regional linkages on firm-level technological capabilities in the automotive industry in Indonesia. The rest of the essay is organized as follows. The next section discusses the development of the industry in Indonesia followed by the theoretical considerations and methodology. The subsequent section analyses the results. The final section presents the conclusions.

2. The Automotive Industry in Indonesia

The development of the automotive sector in Indonesia has certainly benefited from a reformist agenda over the past decade, although still shaped to a degree by the legacy of government policies dating back to the 1970s. At that time, the government

introduced strict controls over the domestic automotive industry, including the banning of imported CBU (completely built up) vehicles. Foreign companies were also prevented from investing directly in assembly and distribution activities within the industry. Since then, Indonesia has become a more welcoming place for foreign automotive manufacturers thanks to the removal of foreign ownership restrictions and other deregulation measures during the 1990s (KPMG, 2014).

In 1983, government attempted to rationalize the automotive industry by requiring car assembler to reduce the number of car brands and models they assembled to achieve economies of scale. However this regulation was not implemented effectively because of strong rejection from vested interests in the industry. Another decree was stipulated in 1983 on compulsory use of locally made components. However this decree was not successful due to the lack of technology, capital and skills in technical areas of the small and medium scale manufacturers. In 1993 government terminated the deletion program and replaced it with the Incentive program. Indonesian government introduced harmonized system under WTO system in 1999. In this harmonized system, the local content programs were removed and Indonesia signed the “trade-related investment measures” (TRIMS).

Automotive production has developed rapidly in Indonesia since 1980 with the number of car and motorcycle parts producers expanding by three folds and four folds respectively. Although Indonesia’s prominence as an automotive player is low in comparison to some of its neighbours, the potential market for automotive producers and importers in the country is expected to expand. Growth is expected to be driven by an expanding middle class and a broadening economic base.

3. Theoretical Considerations

Technological capabilities (TC) form the main concept of this paper, and the key relationships that will be examined in this article are TC, and host-site institutional support (HI) and foreign ownership (FO), and TC and regional linkages (RL). The influence of the control variables of age and size on the dependent variables is also examined.

3.1. Institutional Support and Technological Capabilities

The importance of institutional support to stimulate innovation was first articulated by industrial policy exponents (Smith, 1776; Hamilton, 1791; List, 1885). North (1990) referred to institutions as the ‘rules of the game’ and organizations and entrepreneurs as ‘the players’. Williamson (1985) associated institutions with governing structures that mould economic activity, like a nation’s financial institutions, or the way firms tend to be organized and managed. The fundamental difference in the definition of institutions by evolutionary economists is the specificity of a blend of institutions effecting technical change, which is conditioned by the location, industry-type and timing of strategies by firms and organizations (Nelson, 2008).

Host-site institutions and meso organizations associated with generating and supporting the appropriation of knowledge are critical to stimulate firm-level technological upgrading. In the developed countries, including in Korea, Taiwan and Singapore, the government is a major financier of public goods, such as R&D, including knowledge generation through the provision of R&D grants (OECD, 2013). Lall (2001) was to assert that economies that failed to develop their innovation capabilities became losers in the globalization process.

Where foreign firms have been instrumental in galvanizing technology transfer to national firms, they are unlikely to relocate frontier R&D activities at host sites unless it involves the exploration or development of rare host-site resources, or when the host site is endowed with strong research-based universities. Also, there is evidence of multinationals undertaking R&D in pharmaceuticals in South Africa owing to the availability of rare flora and human capital, and off-shoring of electronics R&D to benefit from strong high tech support institutions in Taiwan (Ernst, 2006). These developments obviously depend on the motives of the multinationals as well as the nature of activity they are engaged in (see Cantwell and Mudambi, 2001). Also, in the integrated circuits industry there is only evidence of MNCs’ frontier R&D activities being relocated at host-sites endowed with strong research universities, e.g. Samsung Semiconductor and Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company in the United States (Gartner, 2013).

Although employees in firms gain significant parts of their knowledge through training and learning by doing in firms (Marshall, 1890; Penrose, 1959), universities and R&D laboratories are important silos of knowledge that firms access through hiring graduates, contract training projects and R&D activities to generate new products and processes. However, as the evolutionary economists have argued both market and non-market institutions are equally important in explaining the drivers of university-industry R&D collaboration (Nelson and Winter 1982; Nelson 2008). Especially in high tech industries firms rely extensively on both the hiring of competent engineers and scientists to undertake R&D activities and to also work jointly with universities and R&D laboratories to carry out commercialization (Nelson, 1993).

While it is important that host-site organizations participate in generating knowledge that is essential for firms, it is also critical that they are cohesively integrated with firms (Mytelka, 2001). Nelson (2008), Lundvall (1988) and Edquist (2004) discussed the importance of interdependence and interactions between economic agents. While this is a broad concept that address all the economic agents, for the purpose of measurement in this article we limit it to coordination links between high tech organizations and firms. In the case of Taiwan, it will be important to capture the links between firms and the high tech organizations. Connectivity and coordination is critical for knowledge flows- beyond simply codified information that markets can coordinate. If firms are to upgrade to participate at the frontier of product technologies, then technological innovation that is produced by R&D laboratories will become important. Basic research is generally done in universities and developmental research that is undertaken in-house or at publicly financed laboratories will be important. Also, training is a critical pillar in institutional support that is important for automotive firms to strengthen their technological capabilities. Hence, although Indonesia is still a developing economy the link between host-site institutions and firm-level technological capabilities is still expected to be positive and statistically significant.

3.2. Technological Capabilities and Regional Linkages

The rapid expansion of East and Southeast Asia has attracted the formulation of regional theories on agglomeration of production. Regional trade and investment linkages in East Asia can be first traced to Akamatsu (1962).

Akamatsu (1962) had pioneered the first regional trade and investment linkages theory using the flight of a flock of geese to explain economic growth and structural change (see Rasiah, Kimura and Oum, forthcoming). This regional model explains the movement of investment and trade sequentially with wedges of geese following the leading goose, i.e. Japan. For a while it appeared a powerful theory as the following Korean and Taiwanese geese emerged. However, the supplanting of Japanese lead firms by Korean and Taiwanese firms undermined its usefulness. Nevertheless, the basic underlying rationale of regional economic integration and its effect on integrating economies is still relevant today.

The specificity of the automotive industry has also encouraged regional specialization among the major economies so that cars are still assembled in the Southeast Asian countries of Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand and Vietnam. Automotive firms have not only shown a tendency to assemble particular models in particular locations, but also to source particular components and completely knocked down parts from particular locations (Rasiah, Kimura and Sothea, 2015). The introduction of the ASEAN Industrial Cooperation (AICO) Scheme in 1996 has stimulated such inter-border complementation initiatives especially among automotive firms (ASEAN, 2000).

Although technological advancement and increasing liberalization have made global trade and investment swifter and cheaper, geographical distance have continued to play an important role in the automotive industry. Continuous economic integration in the ASEAN Free Trade Area (AFTA), and the greater ASEAN members of China, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan has been driven considerably through cooperation from all ASEAN member countries. Hence, it is worth testing if growing RL is reflected in the TC of automotive firms among countries in the lower rungs of the technology ladder, such as, Indonesia.

3.3. FDI and Technological Capabilities

The theory of foreign direct investment (FDI) posits that multinationals enjoy asset specific (tangible and intangible) advantages over local firms (see Hymer, 1976; Dunning, 1958, 1974). Whereas the portfolio theory of FDI of Hymer (1976) emphasized ownership and host-site advantages that explain international operations, Dunning emphasized ownership, localization and internationalization (OLI) elements in the relocation process. Access to superior resources in parent plants abroad is one factor considered to explain this advantage. The relocation of such an activity to developing economies allows multinationals to internalize such resources. In contrast to Vernon (1966; 1971) argument that MNCs would retain production of new products at parent sites, Helleiner (1973) showed evidence of the decomposition of production especially in light manufacturing to suggest that MNCs instantaneously relocate particular production components abroad without waiting for them to mature. However, there has remained a doubt that the most novel aspects of R&D will be relocated abroad (see Amsden and Tschang, 2003). Nevertheless as Caves (1974) had argued, MNCs bring the potential to provide competition, technology diffusion, trade linkages and demonstration effects on national firms.

Largely a consequence of competition between firms and national policies to stimulate supplier linkages, there has been growing participation of MNCs in the development of national suppliers, as well as, R&D off-shoring in developing economies (see Dunning, 1994; Cantwell, 1995; Prasada, 2000; Hobday, 1996; Rasiah, 1994, 2004; UNCTAD, 2005; Ernst, 2006). Hence, is likely that foreign MNCs may show higher technological capabilities than national firms.

4. Methodology and Data

The analytic framework focuses directly on the statistical relationship between technological capability and high tech institutional support, and technological capability and regional production linkages. The dependent variable examined in this article are technological capability. In the first model, the focus is on examining the influence of host-site institutional support on technological capabilities, while in the

second the focus is on the influence of regional production linkages on technological capability.

Technological capability (TC) was estimated using the following 6 proxies:

$$TC = f(CIQT, AC, PD, RD, TE, PAT)$$

Where *CIQT* refers to cutting-edge inventory and quality control techniques (*CIQT*) of statistical process control (*SPC*), quality control circles (*QCC*), any one of the international standards organization (*ISO*) series, total preventive maintenance (*TPM*), integrated materials resource planning (*MRP2*) and total quality management (*TQM*). A score of 1 was added for presence of each of these techniques; *AC* refers to the presence of adaptive capabilities (*AC*) on processes, layouts, machinery and products. A score of 1 was added for the presence of each of them; *PD* refers to the presence of product development (*PD*) which is counted as 1 if it exists and 0 otherwise; *RD* refers to R&D expenditure as a share of sales; *TE* refers to training expenditure as a share of payroll; *PAT* refers to the number of patents taken in the United States.

High tech institutional (*HI*) support was estimated using the following 5 proxies:

$$HI = f(RDG, TTI, RU, RDSE, IRD)$$

Where *RDG* refers to R&D grants enjoyed by the firm from the host government (Yes=1; No=0); *TTI* refers to Likert scale rating (1-5) of the presence of technical strong training institutions, *RU* refers to Likert scale rating (1-5) of the presence of research universities; *RDSE* refers to Likert scale rating (1-5) of the presence of strong supply of R&D scientists and engineers; *IRD* refers to Likert scale rating (1, 5) of the presence of incentive for R&D activities.

Regional production linkages (*RL*) is also used as an explanatory variable. *RL* were estimated using the following formula:

$$RL = RS/TS + RP/TP$$

Where *RS/TS* refers to percentage share of intermediate sales in total sales to firms in East and Southeast Asia; *RP/TP* percentage share of intermediate purchases in total purchases from East and Southeast Asia.

Foreign ownership (*FO*), Size (*S*) and age (*A*) were used as the control variables, and were measured as follows:

FO = foreign equity in total equity.

A = age of the firm

S = workforce

Tobit regression model was used to investigate the relationship between *TC* and *HI*; and *TC* and *RL* controlling for *FO*, size and age using the following equation:

$$TC = \alpha + \beta_1 HI + \beta_2 FO + \beta_3 A + \mu \quad (1)$$

$$TC = \alpha + \beta_1 RL + \beta_2 S + \beta_3 A + \mu \quad (2)$$

A stratified random sampling procedure based on size was adopted to gather data from the automotive firms in Indonesia. The survey questionnaire was sent to automotive firms in 2013. Although data on employment, sales, exports, R&D expenditure and training expenditure were drawn from the years 2000, 2006 and 2011, the analysis is confined to 2011. The empirical analysis is based on 93 automotive firms, which amounted to 68.9 percent of the population of automotive firms in Indonesia.

5. Results and Analysis

First, the descriptive statistics of the dependent and independent variables are presented.

The mean and median of the variable *TC* are 12.06 and 11.90 respectively. The standard deviation for *TC* is 2.09 respectively. The Jarque-Bera statistics show that the distribution for the variables *TC*, *HI*, *FO*, *S* and *A* are normal ($p > 0.05$).

The mean and medians of the logarithm of *HI* are 0.85 and 0.84 with the highest score achieved is 0.95, while the lowest is 0.77. The firms in the sample show mean

and median of the logarithm of ages 3.10 and 3.13 years respectively with a minimum age of 1.94 years and a maximum age of 3.71 years. The mean and median of foreign ownership are 0.67 and 0.66 with maximum and minimum of 0.89 and 0.52. The mean and median of the logarithm of S are 6.24 and 6.13 respectively. The standard deviation for S is 1.00 with maximum and minimum of 9.00 and 4.00.

Before analysing the relationship between technological capabilities and institutional support, and technological capabilities and regional production linkages we checked for heteroscedasticity problems using the White test as a cross-sectional regression model is deployed here. Table 1 and 2 show the results. The p-value of F-statistic for first equation and second equation are 0.2639 and 0.8030, which fail to reject the null hypothesis, and hence, they do not suffer from heteroscedasticity problem.

Table 1: Equation (1): White test for Heteroskedasticity

F-statistic	1.312156	Prob. F (9,37)	0.2639
Obs*R ²	11.37162	Prob. χ^2 (9)	0.2511
Scale exp. SS	7.448134	Prob. χ^2 (9)	0.5906

Source: Authors (2014).

Table 2: Equation (2): White test for Heteroskedasticity

F-statistic	0.587438	Prob. F(9,69)	0.8030
Obs*R ²	5.622367	Prob. χ^2 (9)	0.7770
Scale exp. SS	4.744077	Prob. χ^2 (9)	0.8560

Source: Authors (2014).

For testing the multicollinearity problem in models among independent variables, the auxiliary regressions of independent variables are estimated to calculate their variance inflation factor (*VIF*). The *VIF* is calculated for each independent variable by doing a linear regression of that predictor on all the other predictors, and then obtaining the R^2 from that regression. We estimated The *VIF* in two ways by using formula $(1/(1-R^2))$ and Eviews. Both results in Table 3 and 4 indicate that all *VIFs* are less than 5, thereby confirming the absence of multicollinearity problems in both equations.

Table 3: Variance Inflation Factor for Equation 1

Variable	R ²	VIF
HI	0.030746	1.031721
FO	0.064518	1.068968
A	0.057801	1.061347

Source: Authors (2014)

Table 4: Variance Inflation Factor for Equation 2

Variable	R ²	VIF
RL	0.013871	1.014066111
S	0.084902	1.092779134
A	0.074911	1.080977074

Source: Authors (2014).

In this section, we estimated influence of institutional support on technological capabilities with control variables of age and foreign ownership by using Tobit regression. Table 5 presents the results. The results show a statistically significant relationship between technological capability and institutional support (at 10 percent level), and they are positive. Also, the relationship between *FO* and *TC* was also statistically significant and positive (at 5 percent level). Age was not significant. The constant is also insignificant, suggesting that the equation does not suffer from endogeneity problem. While institutional support has been important foreign ownership enjoyed stronger relationship with technological capabilities.

Table 5: Firm-level Technological Capability and Host-site High Tech Infrastructure, 2012

Dependent Variable: *TC*

Method: ML - Censored Normal (TOBIT) (Quadratic hill climbing)

Covariance matrix computed using second derivatives

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
C	-2.810081	5.107501	-0.550187	0.5822
HI	10.41989	5.706882	1.825847	0.0679
A	0.775880	0.718136	1.080409	0.2800
FO	5.702213	2.620115	2.176321	0.0295
LL	-99.320			

Source: computed from Indonesia survey (2014).

Table 6 shows that the relationship between *RL* and *TC* is significant. The Tobit regression shows that *RL* is positively correlated with *TC* at 5 percent significance

level. Regional trade linkages have been important in stimulating firm-level technological capabilities among automotive firms in Indonesia. In addition, the influence of Size on *TC* is significant and positive but age is not significant, demonstrating the importance of scale in supporting technological capabilities. These results are robust as they do not suffer from endogeneity problems since the constant is statistically insignificant.

Table 6: Technological Capability and Regional linkages, Automotive Firms, Indonesia, 2012

Dependent Variable: *TC*

Method: ML - Censored Normal (TOBIT) (BHHH)

QML (Huber/White) standard errors & covariance

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
C	0.213685	0.594591	0.359381	0.7193
RL	6.662869	2.130318	3.127641	0.0018
A	-0.002088	0.047927	-0.043560	0.9653
S	0.061945	0.022737	2.724350	0.0064
LL	33.85814			

Source: computed from Indonesia survey (2014).

Overall, *RL* showed the highest relationship (at 1 percent) with technological capabilities among automotive firms in Indonesia compared to foreign ownership (5 percent) and host-site institutional support (at 10 percent). The results show that while all three explanatory variables of *RL*, *FO* and *HI* have been important in stimulating technological capabilities among automotive firms, the relationship is strongest with *RL* followed by *FO* and *HI*.

6. Conclusions

The statistical results show that the relationship between *HI* and *TC* is significant and positive suggesting that the provision of training institutes, R&D labs and universities, and R&D scientists and engineers has helped strengthened firm-level technological capabilities in the automotive firms in Indonesia. *FO* and *RL* are also important as it is positively correlated with *TC*. The results support a strong role for

host-site institutional support as essential to stimulate firm-level technological upgrading. However, regional linkages were the strongest correlated with and technological capabilities followed by foreign ownership. The results show that firm-level technological capabilities in automotive firms in Indonesia are influenced by regional trade linkages and foreign ownership than by host-site supporting institutions.

The results also suggest that foreign automotive firms seeking to access regional markets for sales, as well as, suppliers have upgraded their technological capabilities at host-sites through both buyer-supplier trading links in East Asia, as well as, in-house development. While the positive role of regional trade – both imports and exports within East Asia – has been important, the government should strengthen high tech institutional support through strengthening of automotive-related training, research at universities and public R&D labs and to improve the incentive system to stimulate further upgrading of technological capabilities among automotive firms in Indonesia.

References

- Akamatsu, K. (1962), 'Historical pattern of economic growth in developing countries', *The Developing Economies* 1, pp.3-25.
- Amsden, A. H., and F. T. Tschang (2003), 'A New Approach to Assessing the Technological Complexity of Different Categories of R&D (with Examples from Singapore)', *Research Policy* 32(4), pp.553-572.
- ASEAN (2000), *ASEAN Industrial Cooperation Scheme*, Available at: <http://www.asean.org/communities/asean-economic-community/item/asean-industrial-cooperation-scheme> (accessed July 23rd, 2014).
- Cantwell, J. (1995), 'The globalization of technology: what remains of the product cycle model', *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 19, pp.155-174.
- Cantwell, J., and R. Mudambi (2001), 'MNE competence-creating subsidiary mandates: an empirical investigation', Reading University, *International Investment and Management discussion paper* no. 285.
- Caves, R. E. (1974), 'Multinational Firms, Competition and Productivity in Host-Country Markets', *Economica* 41, pp.176-193.
- Dunning J. H. (1994), 'Multinational enterprises and globalisation of innovation capacity', *Research Policy* 23(1), pp.67-88.
- Dunning, J. H. (1958), *American Investment in British Manufacturing Industry*. London: Allen & Unwin.

- Dunning, J. H. (1974), *The Distinctive Nature of Multinational Enterprise*. London: Allen and Unwin.
- Edquist, C. (2004), 'Systems of innovation: perspectives and challenges', in Fagerberg, J., D. Mowery, R. Nelson (eds.), *The oxford handbook of innovation*. Oxford University Press, 650p.
- Ernst, D. (2006), *Innovation offshoring: Asia's Emerging Role in Global Innovation Networks*, East-West Center Special Report 10, East-West Center, Hawaii.
- Gartner (2013), 'The world's leading information technology research and advisory company', Available at: <http://www.gartner.com>
- Hamilton, A. (1791), 'Report on manufactures', reprinted in H.C. Syrett (ed.), *The Papers of Alexander Hamilton*, vol. 1, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Helleiner, G. K. (1973), 'Manufactured Exports from Less Developed Countries and Multinational Firms', *Economic Journal* 83(329), pp.21-47.
- Hobday, M. (1996), 'Innovation in South-East Asia: Lessons for Europe?', *Management Decision* 34(9), pp.71-81.
- Hymer, S. H. (1976), *The International Operation of National Firms: A Study of Direct Foreign Investment*. Cambridge, MA, United States: MIT Press.
- KPMG (2014), *Indonesia's automotive Industry: navigation*. Available at: <https://www.kpmg.com>
- Lall, S. (2001), *Competitiveness, Technology and Skills*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- List, F. (1885), *The National System of Political Economy*. London: Longmans, Green and Co.
- Lundvall, B. A. (1988), 'Innovation as an interactive process: from user-producer interaction to the national system of innovation', in Dosi, G., C. Freeman, R. Nelson, G. Silverberg, L. Soete (eds.), *Technical change and economic theory*. London and New York: Pinter Publishers, pp 349-369.
- Marshall, A. (1890), *Principles of Economics*. London: Macmillan.
- Mytelka, L. (2001), 'Promoting scientific and technological knowledge for sustainable development', paper for the Third UN Conference on Least Developed Countries, Round Table: "Education for All and Sustainable Development in LDCs," May 2001.
- Nelson, J. (2008), 'CSR and Public Policy: New Forms of Engagement between Business and Government', Corporate Social Responsibility Initiative Working Paper No. 45.
- Nelson, R. (2008), 'Economic Development from the Perspective of Evolutionary Theory', *Oxford Development Studies* 36(1), pp.9-21.
- Nelson, R. R. and S. G. Winter (1982), *An evolutionary theory of economic change*. New York: Harvard University Press.
- Nelson, R. R. (ed.) (1993), *National Innovation Systems: A Comparative Analysis*. New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- North, D. (1990), *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- OECD (2013), The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Available at: www.oecd.org
- Oxford Business Group (2013), 'Indonesian market attracts attention of car makers', Available at: http://www.oxfordbusinessgroup.com/economic_updates/indonesian-market-attracts-attention-car-makers
- Penrose, E. (1959), *The Theory of the Growth of the Firm*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Prasada, R. (2000), *Globalization of Corporate R & D: Implications for Innovation Systems in Host Countries*. London: Routledge.
- Rasiah, R., F. Kimura and O. Sothea (*forthcoming*), 'Host-site Institutions, Regional Production Networks and Technological Capabilities', *Asia Pacific Business Review*.
- Rasiah, R. (1994), 'Flexible Production Systems and Local Machine Tool Subcontracting: Electronics Transnationals in Malaysia', *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 18(3), pp.279-298.
- Rasiah, R. (2004), 'Exports and Technological Capabilities: A Study of Foreign and Local Firms in the Electronics Industry in Malaysia, the Philippines and Thailand', *European Journal of Development Research*, 16(3), pp.587-623.
- Rasiah, R. and A. S. Amin (2010), 'Ownership and Technological Capabilities in Indonesia's Automotive Sector', *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*, 15(3), pp.288-300.
- Smith, A. (1776), *The Wealth of the Nations*. London: Strahan and Cadell.
- Ulengin F., S. Önsel, E. Aktas and Ö. Kabak (2010), 'Competitiveness Strength Report Automotive Industry', (in Turkish). REF-SEDEFED, Istanbul.
- UNCTAD (2005), *World Investment Report: Transnational Corporations and the Internationalization of R&D*, Geneva: United Nations Conference for Trade and Development.
- Vernon, R. (1971), *Sovereignty at Bay*. New York: Basic Books.
- Vernon, R. (1966), 'International Investment and International Trade in the Product Cycle', *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 80, pp.190-207.
- Williamson, O. (1985), *The Economic Institutions of Capitalism*. New York: Free Press.

ERIA Discussion Paper Series

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2015-08	Rajah RASIAH, Rafat Beigpoor SHAHRIVAR, Abdusy Syakur AMIN	Host-site Support, Foreign Ownership, Regional Linkages and Technological Capabilities: Evidence from Automotive Firms in Indonesia	Feb 2015
2015-07	Yansheng LI, Xin Xin KONG, and Miao ZHANG	Industrial Upgrading in Global Production Networks: The Case of the Chinese Automotive Industry	Feb 2015
2015-06	Mukul G. ASHER and Fauziah ZEN	Social Protection in ASEAN: Challenges and Initiatives for Post-2015 Vision	Feb 2015
2015-05	Lili Yan ING, Stephen MAGIERA, and Anika WIDIANA	Business Licensing: A Key to Investment Climate Reform	Feb 2015
2015-04	Gemma ESTRADA, James ANGRESANO, Jo Thori LIND, Niku MÄÄTÄNEN, William MCBRIDE, Donghyun PARK, Motohiro SATO, and Karin SVANBORG-SJÖVALL	Fiscal Policy and Equity in Advanced Economies: Lessons for Asia	Jan 2015
2015-03	Erlinda M. MEDALLA	Towards an Enabling Set of Rules of Origin for the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership	Jan 2015
2015-02	Archanun KOHPAIBOON and Juthathip JONGWANICH	Use of FTAs from Thai Experience	Jan 2015
2015-01	Misa OKABE	Impact of Free Trade Agreements on Trade in East Asia	Jan 2015
2014-26	Hikari ISHIDO	Coverage of Trade in Services under ASEAN+1 FTAs	Dec 2014

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2014-25	Junianto James LOSARI	Searching for an Ideal International Investment Protection Regime for ASEAN + Dialogue Partners (RCEP): Where Do We Begin?	Dec 2014
2014-24	Dayong ZHANG and David C. Broadstock	Impact of International Oil Price Shocks on Consumption Expenditures in ASEAN and East Asia	Nov 2014
2014-23	Dandan ZHANG, Xunpeng SHI, and Yu SHENG	Enhanced Measurement of Energy Market Integration in East Asia: An Application of Dynamic Principal Component Analysis	Nov 2014
2014-22	Yanrui WU	Deregulation, Competition, and Market Integration in China's Electricity Sector	Nov 2014
2014-21	Yanfei LI and Youngho CHANG	Infrastructure Investments for Power Trade and Transmission in ASEAN+2: Costs, Benefits, Long-Term Contracts, and Prioritised Development	Nov 2014
2014-20	Yu SHENG, Yanrui WU, Xunpeng SHI, Dandan ZHANG	Market Integration and Energy Trade Efficiency: An Application of Malmqvist Index to Analyse Multi-Product Trade	Nov 2014
2014-19	Andindya BHATTACHARYA and Tania BHATTACHARYA	ASEAN-India Gas Cooperation: Redefining India's "Look East" Policy with Myanmar	Nov 2014
2014-18	Olivier CADOT, Lili Yan ING	How Restrictive Are ASEAN's RoO?	Sep 2014
2014-17	Sadayuki TAKII	Import Penetration, Export Orientation, and Plant Size in Indonesian Manufacturing	July 2014
2014-16	Tomoko INUI, Keiko ITO, and Daisuke MIYAKAWA	Japanese Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises' Export Decisions: The Role of Overseas Market Information	July 2014
2014-15	Han PHOUMIN and Fukunari KIMURA	Trade-off Relationship between Energy Intensity-thus energy demand- and Income Level: Empirical Evidence and Policy Implications for ASEAN and East Asia Countries	June 2014
2014-14	Cassey LEE	The Exporting and Productivity Nexus: Does	May

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
		Firm Size Matter?	2014
2014-13	Yifan ZHANG	Productivity Evolution of Chinese large and Small Firms in the Era of Globalisation	May 2014
2014-12	Valéria SMEETS, Sharon TRAIBERMAN, Frederic WARZYNSKI	Offshoring and the Shortening of the Quality Ladder:Evidence from Danish Apparel	May 2014
2014-11	Inkyo CHEONG	Korea's Policy Package for Enhancing its FTA Utilization and Implications for Korea's Policy	May 2014
2014-10	Sothea OUM, Dionisius NARJOKO, and Charles HARVIE	Constraints, Determinants of SME Innovation, and the Role of Government Support	May 2014
2014-09	Christopher PARSONS and Pierre-Louis Vézina	Migrant Networks and Trade: The Vietnamese Boat People as a Natural Experiment	May 2014
2014-08	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA and Toshiyuki MATSUURA	Dynamic Tow-way Relationship between Exporting and Importing: Evidence from Japan	May 2014
2014-07	DOAN Thi Thanh Ha and Kozo KIYOTA	Firm-level Evidence on Productivity Differentials and Turnover in Vietnamese Manufacturing	Apr 2014
2014-06	Larry QIU and Miaojie YU	Multiproduct Firms, Export Product Scope, and Trade Liberalization: The Role of Managerial Efficiency	Apr 2014
2014-05	Han PHOUMIN and Shigeru KIMURA	Analysis on Price Elasticity of Energy Demand in East Asia: Empirical Evidence and Policy Implications for ASEAN and East Asia	Apr 2014
2014-04	Youngho CHANG and Yanfei LI	Non-renewable Resources in Asian Economies: Perspectives of Availability, Applicability, Acceptability, and Affordability	Feb 2014
2014-03	Yasuyuki SAWADA and Fauziah ZEN	Disaster Management in ASEAN	Jan 2014
2014-02	Cassey LEE	Competition Law Enforcement in Malaysia	Jan 2014
2014-01	Rizal SUKMA	ASEAN Beyond 2015: The Imperatives for Further Institutional Changes	Jan 2014

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2013-38	Toshihiro OKUBO, Fukunari KIMURA, Nozomu TESHIMA	Asian Fragmentation in the Global Financial Crisis	Dec 2013
2013-37	Xunpeng SHI and Cecilya MALIK	Assessment of ASEAN Energy Cooperation within the ASEAN Economic Community	Dec 2013
2013-36	Tereso S. TULLAO, Jr. And Christopher James CABUAY	Eduction and Human Capital Development to Strengthen R&D Capacity in the ASEAN	Dec 2013
2013-35	Paul A. RASCHKY	Estimating the Effects of West Sumatra Public Asset Insurance Program on Short-Term Recovery after the September 2009 Earthquake	Dec 2013
2013-34	Nipon POAPONSAKORN and Pitsom MEETHOM	Impact of the 2011 Floods, and Food Management in Thailand	Nov 2013
2013-33	Mitsuyo ANDO	Development and Resructuring of Regional Production/Distribution Networks in East Asia	Nov 2013
2013-32	Mitsuyo ANDO and Fukunari KIMURA	Evolution of Machinery Production Networks: Linkage of North America with East Asia?	Nov 2013
2013-31	Mitsuyo ANDO and Fukunari KIMURA	What are the Opportunities and Challenges for ASEAN?	Nov 2013
2013-30	Simon PEETMAN	Standards Harmonisation in ASEAN: Progress, Challenges and Moving Beyond 2015	Nov 2013
2013-29	Jonathan KOH and Andrea Feldman MOWERMAN	Towards a Truly Seamless Single Windows and Trade Facilitation Regime in ASEAN Beyond 2015	Nov 2013
2013-28	Rajah RASIAH	Stimulating Innovation in ASEAN Institutional Support, R&D Activity and Intelletual Property Rights	Nov 2013
2013-27	Maria Monica WIHARDJA	Financial Integration Challenges in ASEAN beyond 2015	Nov 2013
2013-26	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Who Disseminates Technology to Whom, How, and Why: Evidence from Buyer-Seller Business Networks	Nov 2013
2013-25	Fukunari KIMURA	Reconstructing the Concept of “Single Market a Production Base” for ASEAN beyond 2015	Oct 2013
2013-24	Olivier CADOT Ernawati MUNADI	Streamlining NTMs in ASEAN: The Way Forward	Oct 2013

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
	Lili Yan ING		
2013-23	Charles HARVIE, Dionisius NARJOKO, Sothea OUM	Small and Medium Enterprises' Access to Finance: Evidence from Selected Asian Economies	Oct 2013
2013-22	Alan Khee-Jin TAN	Toward a Single Aviation Market in ASEAN: Regulatory Reform and Industry Challenges	Oct 2013
2013-21	Hisanobu SHISHIDO, Shintaro SUGIYAMA, Fauziah ZEN	Moving MPAC Forward: Strengthening Public-Private Partnership, Improving Project Portfolio and in Search of Practical Financing Schemes	Oct 2013
2013-20	Barry DESKER, Mely CABALLERO-ANTH ONY, Paul TENG	Thought/Issues Paper on ASEAN Food Security: Towards a more Comprehensive Framework	Oct 2013
2013-19	Toshihiro KUDO, Satoru KUMAGAI, So UMEZAKI	Making Myanmar the Star Growth Performer in ASEAN in the Next Decade: A Proposal of Five Growth Strategies	Sep 2013
2013-18	Ruperto MAJUCA	Managing Economic Shocks and Macroeconomic Coordination in an Integrated Region: ASEAN Beyond 2015	Sep 2013
2013-17	Cassy LEE and Yoshifumi FUKUNAGA	Competition Policy Challenges of Single Market and Production Base	Sep 2013
2013-16	Simon TAY	Growing an ASEAN Voice? : A Common Platform in Global and Regional Governance	Sep 2013
2013-15	Danilo C. ISRAEL and Roehlano M. BRIONES	Impacts of Natural Disasters on Agriculture, Food Security, and Natural Resources and Environment in the Philippines	Aug 2013
2013-14	Allen Yu-Hung LAI and Seck L. TAN	Impact of Disasters and Disaster Risk Management in Singapore: A Case Study of Singapore's Experience in Fighting the SARS Epidemic	Aug 2013
2013-13	Brent LAYTON	Impact of Natural Disasters on Production Networks and Urbanization in New Zealand	Aug 2013
2013-12	Mitsuyo ANDO	Impact of Recent Crises and Disasters on Regional	Aug

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
		Production/Distribution Networks and Trade in Japan	2013
2013-11	Le Dang TRUNG	Economic and Welfare Impacts of Disasters in East Asia and Policy Responses: The Case of Vietnam	Aug 2013
2013-10	Sann VATHANA, Sothea OUM, Ponhrith KAN, Colas CHERVIER	Impact of Disasters and Role of Social Protection in Natural Disaster Risk Management in Cambodia	Aug 2013
2013-09	Sommarat CHANTARAT, Krirk PANNANGPETCH, Nattapong PUTTANAPONG, Preesan RAKWATIN, and Thanasin TANOMPONGPHANDH	Index-Based Risk Financing and Development of Natural Disaster Insurance Programs in Developing Asian Countries	Aug 2013
2013-08	Ikumo ISONO and Satoru KUMAGAI	Long-run Economic Impacts of Thai Flooding: Geographical Simulation Analysis	July 2013
2013-07	Yoshifumi FUKUNAGA and Hikaru ISHIDO	Assessing the Progress of Services Liberalization in the ASEAN-China Free Trade Area (ACFTA)	May 2013
2013-06	Ken ITAKURA, Yoshifumi FUKUNAGA, and Ikumo ISONO	A CGE Study of Economic Impact of Accession of Hong Kong to ASEAN-China Free Trade Agreement	May 2013
2013-05	Misa OKABE and Shujiro URATA	The Impact of AFTA on Intra-AFTA Trade	May 2013
2013-04	Kohei SHIINO	How Far Will Hong Kong's Accession to ACFTA will Impact on Trade in Goods?	May 2013
2013-03	Cassey LEE and Yoshifumi FUKUNAGA	ASEAN Regional Cooperation on Competition Policy	Apr 2013
2013-02	Yoshifumi FUKUNAGA and Ikumo ISONO	Taking ASEAN+1 FTAs towards the RCEP: A Mapping Study	Jan 2013
2013-01	Ken ITAKURA	Impact of Liberalization and Improved Connectivity and Facilitation in ASEAN for the ASEAN Economic Community	Jan 2013
2012-17	Sun XUEGONG, Guo LIYAN, Zeng ZHENG	Market Entry Barriers for FDI and Private Investors: Lessons from China's Electricity Market	Aug 2012

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2012-16	Yanrui WU	Electricity Market Integration: Global Trends and Implications for the EAS Region	Aug 2012
2012-15	Youngho CHANG, Yanfei LI	Power Generation and Cross-border Grid Planning for the Integrated ASEAN Electricity Market: A Dynamic Linear Programming Model	Aug 2012
2012-14	Yanrui WU, Xunpeng SHI	Economic Development, Energy Market Integration and Energy Demand: Implications for East Asia	Aug 2012
2012-13	Joshua AIZENMAN, Minsoo LEE, and Donghyun PARK	The Relationship between Structural Change and Inequality: A Conceptual Overview with Special Reference to Developing Asia	July 2012
2012-12	Hyun-Hoon LEE, Minsoo LEE, and Donghyun PARK	Growth Policy and Inequality in Developing Asia: Lessons from Korea	July 2012
2012-11	Cassey LEE	Knowledge Flows, Organization and Innovation: Firm-Level Evidence from Malaysia	June 2012
2012-10	Jacques MAIRESSE, Pierre MOHNEN, Yayun ZHAO, and Feng ZHEN	Globalization, Innovation and Productivity in Manufacturing Firms: A Study of Four Sectors of China	June 2012
2012-09	Ari KUNCORO	Globalization and Innovation in Indonesia: Evidence from Micro-Data on Medium and Large Manufacturing Establishments	June 2012
2012-08	Alfons PALANGKARAYA	The Link between Innovation and Export: Evidence from Australia's Small and Medium Enterprises	June 2012
2012-07	Chin Hee HAHN and Chang-Gyun PARK	Direction of Causality in Innovation-Exporting Linkage: Evidence on Korean Manufacturing	June 2012
2012-06	Keiko ITO	Source of Learning-by-Exporting Effects: Does Exporting Promote Innovation?	June 2012
2012-05	Rafaelita M. ALDABA	Trade Reforms, Competition, and Innovation in the Philippines	June 2012
2012-04	Toshiyuki MATSUURA and Kazunobu HAYAKAWA	The Role of Trade Costs in FDI Strategy of Heterogeneous Firms: Evidence from Japanese Firm-level Data	June 2012
2012-03	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Fukunari KIMURA, and Hyun-Hoon LEE	How Does Country Risk Matter for Foreign Direct Investment?	Feb 2012

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2012-02	Ikumo ISONO, Satoru KUMAGAI, Fukunari KIMURA	Agglomeration and Dispersion in China and ASEAN: A Geographical Simulation Analysis	Jan 2012
2012-01	Mitsuyo ANDO and Fukunari KIMURA	How Did the Japanese Exports Respond to Two Crises in the International Production Network?: The Global Financial Crisis and the East Japan Earthquake	Jan 2012
2011-10	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Interactive Learning-driven Innovation in Upstream-Downstream Relations: Evidence from Mutual Exchanges of Engineers in Developing Economies	Dec 2011
2011-09	Joseph D. ALBA, Wai-Mun CHIA, and Donghyun PARK	Foreign Output Shocks and Monetary Policy Regimes in Small Open Economies: A DSGE Evaluation of East Asia	Dec 2011
2011-08	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Impacts of Incoming Knowledge on Product Innovation: Econometric Case Studies of Technology Transfer of Auto-related Industries in Developing Economies	Nov 2011
2011-07	Yanrui WU	Gas Market Integration: Global Trends and Implications for the EAS Region	Nov 2011
2011-06	Philip Andrews-SPEED	Energy Market Integration in East Asia: A Regional Public Goods Approach	Nov 2011
2011-05	Yu SHENG, Xunpeng SHI	Energy Market Integration and Economic Convergence: Implications for East Asia	Oct 2011
2011-04	Sang-Hyop LEE, Andrew MASON, and Donghyun PARK	Why Does Population Aging Matter So Much for Asia? Population Aging, Economic Security and Economic Growth in Asia	Aug 2011
2011-03	Xunpeng SHI, Shinichi GOTO	Harmonizing Biodiesel Fuel Standards in East Asia: Current Status, Challenges and the Way Forward	May 2011
2011-02	Hikari ISHIDO	Liberalization of Trade in Services under ASEAN+n : A Mapping Exercise	May 2011
2011-01	Kuo-I CHANG, Kazunobu HAYAKAWA Toshiyuki MATSUURA	Location Choice of Multinational Enterprises in China: Comparison between Japan and Taiwan	Mar 2011
2010-11	Charles HARVIE, Dionisius NARJOKO,	Firm Characteristic Determinants of SME Participation in Production Networks	Oct 2010

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
	Sothea OUM		
2010-10	Mitsuyo ANDO	Machinery Trade in East Asia, and the Global Financial Crisis	Oct 2010
2010-09	Fukunari KIMURA Ayako OBASHI	International Production Networks in Machinery Industries: Structure and Its Evolution	Sep 2010
2010-08	Tomohiro MACHIKITA, Shoichi MIYAHARA, Masatsugu TSUJI, and Yasushi UEKI	Detecting Effective Knowledge Sources in Product Innovation: Evidence from Local Firms and MNCs/JVs in Southeast Asia	Aug 2010
2010-07	Tomohiro MACHIKITA, Masatsugu TSUJI, and Yasushi UEKI	How ICTs Raise Manufacturing Performance: Firm-level Evidence in Southeast Asia	Aug 2010
2010-06	Xunpeng SHI	Carbon Footprint Labeling Activities in the East Asia Summit Region: Spillover Effects to Less Developed Countries	July 2010
2010-05	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Fukunari KIMURA, and Tomohiro MACHIKITA	Firm-level Analysis of Globalization: A Survey of the Eight Literatures	Mar 2010
2010-04	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	The Impacts of Face-to-face and Frequent Interactions on Innovation: Upstream-Downstream Relations	Feb 2010
2010-03	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Innovation in Linked and Non-linked Firms: Effects of Variety of Linkages in East Asia	Feb 2010
2010-02	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Search-theoretic Approach to Securing New Suppliers: Impacts of Geographic Proximity for Importer and Non-importer	Feb 2010
2010-01	Tomohiro MACHIKITA and Yasushi UEKI	Spatial Architecture of the Production Networks in Southeast Asia: Empirical Evidence from Firm-level Data	Feb 2010
2009-23	Dionisius NARJOKO	Foreign Presence Spillovers and Firms' Export Response: Evidence from the Indonesian Manufacturing	Nov 2009

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2009-22	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Daisuke HIRATSUKA, Kohei SHIINO, and Seiya SUKEGAWA	Who Uses Free Trade Agreements?	Nov 2009
2009-21	Ayako OBASHI	Resiliency of Production Networks in Asia: Evidence from the Asian Crisis	Oct 2009
2009-20	Mitsuyo ANDO and Fukunari KIMURA	Fragmentation in East Asia: Further Evidence	Oct 2009
2009-19	Xunpeng SHI	The Prospects for Coal: Global Experience and Implications for Energy Policy	Sept 2009
2009-18	Sothea OUM	Income Distribution and Poverty in a CGE Framework: A Proposed Methodology	Jun 2009
2009-17	Erlinda M. MEDALLA and Jenny BALBOA	ASEAN Rules of Origin: Lessons and Recommendations for the Best Practice	Jun 2009
2009-16	Masami ISHIDA	Special Economic Zones and Economic Corridors	Jun 2009
2009-15	Toshihiro KUDO	Border Area Development in the GMS: Turning the Periphery into the Center of Growth	May 2009
2009-14	Claire HOLLWEG and Marn-Heong WONG	Measuring Regulatory Restrictions in Logistics Services	Apr 2009
2009-13	Loreli C. De DIOS	Business View on Trade Facilitation	Apr 2009
2009-12	Patricia SOURDIN and Richard POMFRET	Monitoring Trade Costs in Southeast Asia	Apr 2009
2009-11	Philippa DEE and Huong DINH	Barriers to Trade in Health and Financial Services in ASEAN	Apr 2009
2009-10	Sayuri SHIRAI	The Impact of the US Subprime Mortgage Crisis on the World and East Asia: Through Analyses of Cross-border Capital Movements	Apr 2009
2009-09	Mitsuyo ANDO and Akie IRIYAMA	International Production Networks and Export/Import Responsiveness to Exchange Rates: The Case of Japanese Manufacturing Firms	Mar 2009
2009-08	Archanun KOHPAIBOON	Vertical and Horizontal FDI Technology Spillovers: Evidence from Thai Manufacturing	Mar 2009

No.	Author(s)	Title	Year
2009-07	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Fukunari KIMURA, and Toshiyuki MATSUURA	Gains from Fragmentation at the Firm Level: Evidence from Japanese Multinationals in East Asia	Mar 2009
2009-06	Dionisius A. NARJOKO	Plant Entry in a More Liberalised Industrialisation Process: An Experience of Indonesian Manufacturing during the 1990s	Mar 2009
2009-05	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Fukunari KIMURA, and Tomohiro MACHIKITA	Firm-level Analysis of Globalization: A Survey	Mar 2009
2009-04	Chin Hee HAHN and Chang-Gyun PARK	Learning-by-exporting in Korean Manufacturing: A Plant-level Analysis	Mar 2009
2009-03	Ayako OBASHI	Stability of Production Networks in East Asia: Duration and Survival of Trade	Mar 2009
2009-02	Fukunari KIMURA	The Spatial Structure of Production/Distribution Networks and Its Implication for Technology Transfers and Spillovers	Mar 2009
2009-01	Fukunari KIMURA and Ayako OBASHI	International Production Networks: Comparison between China and ASEAN	Jan 2009
2008-03	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA and Fukunari KIMURA	The Effect of Exchange Rate Volatility on International Trade in East Asia	Dec 2008
2008-02	Satoru KUMAGAI, Toshitaka GOKAN, Ikumo ISONO, and Souknilanh KEOLA	Predicting Long-Term Effects of Infrastructure Development Projects in Continental South East Asia: IDE Geographical Simulation Model	Dec 2008
2008-01	Kazunobu HAYAKAWA, Fukunari KIMURA, and Tomohiro MACHIKITA	Firm-level Analysis of Globalization: A Survey	Dec 2008